Impact of Amino Acid Addition on Aroma Compounds in Papaya Wine Fermented with *Williopsis mrakii*

P.-R. Lee¹, B. Yu², P. Curran², S.-Q. Liu^{1*}

(1) Food Science and Technology Programme, Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, 4 Science Drive, Singapore 117543
 (2) Firmerick Asia Pte Ltd. Type, Singapore 117542

(2) Firmenich Asia Pte Ltd, Tuas, Singapore 117543

Submitted for publication: March 2011 Accepted for publication: June 2011

Key words: fermentation, Williopsis, aroma, papaya wine

The impact of amino acid addition on aroma compound formation in papaya wine fermented with yeast *Williopsis saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC2251 was studied. Time-course papaya juice fermentations were carried out using *W. saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC2251, with and without the addition of selected amino acids (L-leucine, L-isoleucine, L-valine and L-phenylalanine). Yeast growth and changes in sugars, °Brix, organic acids and pH were similar, regardless of amino acid addition. L-Leucine addition increased the production of isoamyl alcohol and some esters such as isoamyl acetate, isoamyl butyrate and isoamyl propionate, while L-isoleucine addition increased the production of active amyl alcohol and active amyl acetate. L-valine addition slightly increased the production of isobutyl alcohol and isobutyl acetate. L-phenylalanine addition increased the formation of 2-phenylethanol, 2-phenylethyl acetate and 2-phenylethyl butyrate, while decreasing the production of most other esters. This study suggests that papaya juice fermentation with *W. saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC2251 in conjunction with the addition of selected amino acid(s) can be an effective way to modulate the aroma of papaya wine.

INTRODUCTION

In winemaking, an adequate nitrogen level in the grape must is essential for a successful alcoholic fermentation, as assimilable nitrogen has been identified as a key nutrient that regulates yeast growth. The degree of nitrogen availability can affect yeast metabolism, such as volatile compound formation. Several studies have revealed the effects of ammonium addition on the formation of volatile compounds (Hernandez-Orte et al., 2005, 2006). Volatile compounds, including higher alcohols, short to medium-chain fatty acids, ethyl esters and acetate esters, are affected by the type and/or concentration of nitrogen (Bell & Henschke, 2005). If yeast suffers from nitrogen deficiency during wine fermentation, sluggish or stuck fermentation may occur (Bisson & Butzke, 2000; Sablayrolles, 2009). In contrast, when supplemented with excessive amounts of ammonium there could be a risk of producing wine with elevated levels of higher alcohols (Beltran et al., 2005), acetic acid (Bely et al., 2003), ethyl acetate (Sablayrolles, 2009) or even ethyl carbamate (Ough et al., 1988).

The papaya (or paw paw, *Carica papaya*) used in this study is one of the fruits with abundant supply in the tropical region, in contrast to the supply of grapes. However, papayas are relatively low in some amino acids, containing only 9 mg phenylalanine, 16 mg leucine, 8 mg isoleucine

and 10 mg valine in every 100 g of edible portion (USDA, 2009), compared to grapes, with 19 mg phenylalanine, 22 mg leucine, 11 mg isoleucine and 22 mg valine per 100g of grape (Vitis vinifera) (USDA, 2009). Some amino acids, especially the branched-chain amino acids and aromatic amino acids, are important precursors to aroma compounds. Higher alcohols such as isobutyl alcohol, isoamyl alcohol and active amyl alcohol are derived from L-valine, L-leucine and L-isoleucine respectively (Dickinson et al., 1997, 1998, 2000), whereas 2-phenylethanol is formed from L-phenylalanine (Etschmann et al., 2002) by Saccharomyces yeasts and certain non-Saccharomyces yeasts (e.g. Kluyveromyces marxianus). These alcohols can be converted into esters, such as branched-chain or aromatic esters, by both Saccharomyces and non-Saccharomyces yeasts due to the action of alcohol acetyltransferases in the presence of acetyl Co-A. Acetate esters such as isoamyl acetate and 2-phenylethyl acetate are recognised as important flavour compounds in wine, imparting characteristic flavours (Rojas et al., 2001, 2003). Non-Saccharomyces yeasts excrete various enzymes that are responsible for giving the wine its unique characteristics (Pretorius et al., 1999). Glucanase activity has been described in the genus Candida (Strauss et al., 2001), whereas ß-glycosidase activity has been described

*Corresponding author: chmLsq@nus.edu.sg [Tel.: +65 6516 2687; fax: +65 6775 7895]

in species of *Pichia, Hansenula* and *Hanseniaspora* (Charoenchai *et al.*, 1997). Among the non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts, *Williopsis* yeasts are potent producers of esters (Inoue *et al.*, 1997), and *Williopsis saturnus* in particular has the uppermost ability to convert higher alcohols into the corresponding acetate esters, e.g. isoamyl acetate at a concentration of 12 to 73 mg/L (Iwase *et al.*, 1995).

Considering the common practice of ammonia addition, increasing recognition of the roles of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts in grape and fruit wine fermentation and consumer demand for more unique and stylistic wine, it is of interest to understand the effect of amino acid addition on aroma compound generation by non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts. The aim of this work was to study the fermentation performance and formation of aroma compounds by *W. saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC2251 in papaya juice, with and without the addition of L-valine, L-phenylalanine, L-leucine and L-isoleucine. The selection of the four amino acids was based on reports that these amino acids have the most influence on aroma compound formation in wine fermentations (Dickinson *et al.*, 1997, 1998, 2000; Hernandez-Orte *et al.*, 2002).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strain and materials

Freeze-dried Williopsis saturnus var. mrakii NCYC2251 was obtained from the National Collection of Yeast Cultures (Norwich, UK) and propagated following the procedure described by Lee et al. (2010). L-Leucine, L-isoleucine, L-valine, L-phenylalanine, fructose, glucose, acetic acid, citric acid, DL-malic acid and DL-tartaric acid were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Oakville, ON, Canada). The pure reference compounds used in the quantitative analysis of the volatile compounds were obtained from Firmenich Asia Ltd (Singapore) and Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Food-grade DL-malic acid was purchased from Suntop (Singapore). Potato dextrose agar (PDA), bacteriological peptone and malt extract were purchased from Oxoid (Hampshire, England). Potassium metabisulphite was obtained from The Goodlife Homebrew Centre (Norfolk, England).

Fermentation conditions

The preparation and fermentation of the papaya juice were based on the procedure described by Lee *et al.* (2010). Papayas of the Sekaki cultivar were washed, juiced and centrifuged (32,140 x g for 15 min at 4°C). The initial Brix was 11.60% and the pH was 4.98. DL-malic acid (1 M) was added to reduce the pH value to 3.55, and the juice was sterilised overnight with 100 ppm of potassium metabisulphite. Laboratory-scale fermentations were carried out in duplicate with conical flasks containing 250 mL of sterile papaya juice at 20°C under static conditions. Each flask was inoculated with ~10⁵ cfu/mL of *W. saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC 2251 and added with 0.05% (w/v) of L-leucine, L-isoleucine, L-valine or L-phenylalanine, except for the control. Samples were taken during fermentation (Day 0, 3, 6, 10, 14 and 21).

Analytical methods

Total soluble solids (°Brix), pH, optical density, sugars, organic acids and aroma compounds were analysed

as described elsewhere (Lee *et al.*, 2010), with some modifications. Organic acid separation was carried out on a Supelcogel C-610H column (300 x 7.8 mm, Supelco), using 0.1% sulphuric acid mobile phase at a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min with photodiode array (PDA) detection. The determination of sugar was done on a Pinnacel II amino column (Restek, 150 x 4.6 mm) using a mixture of acetonitrile and water (80:20) mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min, and assessed by evaporative light scattering detector (ELSD).

Aroma compounds in the papaya wines were determined by the optimised headspace (HS) solid-phase microextraction (SPME) method, coupled with gas chromatography (GC)mass spectrometer (MS) and flame ionisation detector (FID) (Lee et al., 2010). The fibre used for the absorption of volatiles was a 85 µm fused silica fibre coated with Carboxen/ PDMS (Supelco, Sigma-Aldrich, Barcelona, Spain). Papaya wine samples of 5 mL were extracted by HS-SPME for 50 min at 60°C and thermally desorbed into the injector port at 250°C for 3 min. Separation was performed with a DB-FFAP column (60 m x 0.25 mm I.D.) and with the oven temperature programmed to run from 50°C (hold time 5 min) to 230°C at a rate of 5°C/min (final hold for 30 min). Helium was the carrier gas at a linear velocity of 1.2 mL/min. The transfer line temperature was 280°C. Mass detector conditions were: electron impact (EI) mode at 70eV; source temperature: 230°C; mass scanning parameters: $3 \min \rightarrow 22 \min m/z 25 280 (5.36 \text{ scan/s}); 22 \text{ min} \rightarrow 71 \text{ min}; \text{m/z} 25-550 (2.78 \text{ scan/s})$ under full-scan acquisition mode. Identification of the eluted compounds was achieved by matching the mass spectrum against NIST 8.0 and Wiley 275 MS libraries, and confirmed by the Linear Retention Index (LRI) value. LRI values on the FFAP column were determined using a series of alkanes (C_5-C_{40}) run under identical conditions. Quantification of the selected volatiles was similar to that described in Lee et al. (2010), with additional volatiles included: active amyl alcohol [0.002–0.33 ppm (mg/L)]; isobutyl alcohol (0.02– 3.21 ppm); active amyl acetate (0.002–0.07 ppm); isobutyl acetate (0.0001-0.022 ppm); ethyl decanoate (0.001-1 ppm); ethyl dodecanoate (0.001-1 ppm); octanoic acid (0.1-10 ppm) (R² values of standard curves were at least 0.98). All samples were analysed in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Microsoft Office Excel, version 2003 (Lee *et al.*, 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yeast growth, total soluble solids and pH changes during papaya juice fermentation

All the fermentations showed similar characteristics in terms of growth kinetics and total soluble solids (°Brix) (Table 1). The pH did not vary significantly during fermentation, with values maintained at pH 3.57 to 3.68 (Table 1). Both the sugar consumption and the organic acid changes were not affected by the addition of amino acids. The sugar consumption displayed a gradual reduction during fermentation, with preferential utilisation of glucose over fructose (Table 1). This corresponds to the sugar consumption behaviour of *W. saturnus* reported in Lee *et al.* (2010).

	Day 0	Control	0.05% (w/v) valine added	0.05% (w/v) phenylalanine added	0.05% (w/v) leucine added	0.05% (w/v) isoleucine added
pН	$3.57\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	$3.67\pm0.01^{\rm b}$	$3.68\pm0.01^{\rm b}$	$3.64\pm0.01^{\rm b}$	$3.67\pm0.00^{\rm b}$	$3.65\pm0.01^{\rm b}$
°Brix (%)	$11.60\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$5.50\pm0.08^{\rm bc}$	$4.95\pm0.11^{\circ}$	$5.36\pm0.07^{\rm bc}$	$5.32\pm0.12^{\rm bc}$	$5.98\pm0.50^{\rm b}$
Yeast cell count x 10 ⁶ (cfu/mL)	$0.30\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	$157\pm11.70^{\text{bd}}$	$136\pm6.19^{\rm c}$	$139\pm3.54^{\rm c}$	$156\pm2.65^{\rm b}$	$174\pm9.02^{\text{d}}$
Sugars (g/100 mL)						
Fructose	$4.32\pm0.01^{\rm a}$	$2.16\pm0.10^{\rm bc}$	$1.59\pm0.04^{\rm c}$	$2.20\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$1.87\pm0.01^{\rm bc}$	$2.25\pm0.14^{\rm b}$
Glucose	$5.06\pm0.01^{\text{a}}$	$0.69\pm0.03^{\rm bc}$	$0.55\pm0.06^{\circ}$	$0.77\pm0.01^{\rm b}$	$0.64\pm0.05^{\rm bc}$	$0.79\pm0.01^{\rm b}$
Organic acids (g/100 mL)						
Acetic acid	$0.038\pm0.001^{\mathtt{a}}$	$0.046\pm0.001^{\rm b}$	$0.049\pm0.001^{\rm bc}$	$0.047\pm0.00^{\rm b}$	$0.051\pm0.002^{\text{cd}}$	$0.054\pm0.001^{\text{d}}$
Citric acid	$0.271\pm0.001^{\mathtt{a}}$	$0.245\pm0.00^{\rm b}$	$0.230\pm0.00^{\rm c}$	$0.231\pm0.001^{\circ}$	$0.237\pm0.002^{\rm d}$	$0.242\pm0.003^{\mathrm{b}}$
Malic acid	$0.902\pm0.02^{\rm a}$	$0.696\pm0.01^{\rm b}$	$0.648\pm0.01^{\circ}$	$0.682\pm0.01^{\text{d}}$	$0.666\pm0.02^{\text{e}}$	$0.687\pm0.00^{\text{bd}}$
Tartaric acid	$0.018\pm0.001^{\text{a}}$	$0.008\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$0.006\pm0.001^{\text{a}}$	$0.007\pm0.001^{\text{a}}$	0.007 ± 0.00^{a}	$0.008\pm0.001^{\rm a}$

 TABLE 1

 Fermentation parameters of papaya wine (day 21) fermented with Williopsis mrakii in the presence of the added amino acids

a,b,c,d,e Statistical analysis at 95% confidence level with same letters indicating no significant difference.

The changes in the organic acids were similar in all fermentations, where citric acid remained fairly constant while malic and tartaric acids decreased slightly and acetic acid increased (Table 1). There were statistical differences in the concentrations of organic acids at day 21 among or between the different treatments and the day 0 sample, except for tartaric acid (Table 1). The viable yeast cell populations of all fermentations reached the maximum of approximately $1.36 \times 10^8 - 1.74 \times 10^8$ cfu/mL at the end of fermentation (day 21), from the initial cell population of about 3.0 x 10^5 cfu/mL (Table 1).

Kinetic changes in aroma compounds during papaya juice fermentation

During papaya juice fermentation, a number of aroma compounds were produced, including fatty acids, alcohols, esters and aldehydes: some were stable, others were metabolised. Aroma compounds that were indigenous to the juice, such as benzyl isothiocyanate, β -damascenone and some fatty acids such as butyric and hexanoic acids, were utilised (data not shown).

The kinetics of the acetic and hexanoic acids were similar in all the fermentations (data not shown). Hexanoic acid, which was present at relatively high concentrations in the juice, was utilised, while acetic acid increased during fermentation. The addition of amino acids increased the formation of acetic acid in comparison to the control (Table 2). The addition of L-phenylalanine increased the utilisation of hexanoic acid, but reduced the formation of octanoic acid. The addition of leucine and isoleucine produced the highest amount of acetic acid, with relative peak areas (RPA) ranging from 0.49 to 0.56% that corresponded to the trend in the organic acid results (Table 1). Acetic acid is an undesirable volatile acid in alcoholic beverages and has the capability of imparting a vinegary off-flavour. Great variability in acetic acid production, from about 0.06 g/100 mL to more than 0.34 g/100 mL has been observed for non-Saccharomyces yeast (Viana et al., 2008). However, the acetic acid produced in this study was within the acceptable range of 0.02 to

0.07 g/100 mL for wine (Lambrechts & Pretorius, 2000). There were statistical differences in the concentrations of fatty acids between the different amino acids added and the control at day 21 (Table 2).

Ethanol, isobutyl alcohol (2-methyl-1-propanol), active amyl alcohol (2-methyl-1-butanol), isoamyl alcohol (3-methyl-1-butanol) and 2-phenylethanol were the major alcohols produced by yeast strain NCYC2251 during papaya juice fermentation (Fig. 1). The effect of the addition of amino acids on ethanol production varied. Amino acid addition significantly increased their respective higher alcohol production (Fig. 1). Studies have shown that, with the addition of different amino acids, Saccharomyces yeasts and certain non-Saccharomyces yeasts (Kluyveromyces marxianus) are capable of producing the respective higher alcohols through a decarboxylation process of the corresponding α -keto acids by Ehrlich's pathway, followed by a reduction to produce the final alcohols (Perez et al., 1992; Dickinson et al., 1997, 1998, 2000; Etschmann et al., 2002). The result of our study are in accordance with these studies, where the fermentation added with L-leucine, L-isoleucine and L-phenylalanine displayed increased production of isoamyl alcohol (19.98 mg/L), active amyl alcohol (1.77 mg/L) and 2-phenylethanol (17.16 mg/L) respectively (Table 3). Those added with either L-leucine, L-isoleucine or L-valine showed markedly increased production of isobutyl alcohol compared to the control (Fig. 1). Based on the concentrations, those added with L-valine produced a relatively high amount of isobutyl alcohol, with 9.17 mg/L (Table 3). However, slight variation was observed in comparison to the semi-quantified results, which was probably due to the matrix effects from the wine on the HS-SPME (Burman et al., 2005). The final amounts of alcohols at day 21 varied significantly among the different amino acids added and the control (Tables 2 and 3). The results of our study differ from those of Hernandez-Orte et al. (2006) and Garde-Cerdan and Ancin-Azpilicueta (2008), who found that there was no positive correlation between higher alcohol production and the amino acids added, with some, such as isoamyl alcohol, even decreasing. This may



FIGURE 1

Changes in alcohols in papaya wine during fermentation by *Williopsis saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC2251 with different amino acids added (w/v). Control (♦); 0.05% valine (▲); 0.05% phenylalanine (■); 0.05% leucine (*); 0.05% isoleucine (□).





Changes in acetate esters in papaya wine during fermentation by *Williopsis saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC2251 with different amino acids added (w/v). Control (\blacklozenge); 0.05% valine (\blacktriangle); 0.05% phenylalanine (\blacksquare); 0.05% leucine (\circledast); 0.05% isoleucine (\Box).

TABLE 2 Major aroma compounds	(GC-F	ID peak area	x 10 ⁶)	and their rel	ative pe	ak areas (RPA	A) ident	tified in papa	va win	e at day 21	with di	fferent amino acids added	
	,	Contro		0.05% (w/v) added	valine	0.05% (w/v bhenvlalanine a	/) (/	0.05% (w/v) le added	eucine	0.05% (w isoleucine a	/v) dded		
Compounds identified in this study	RI	Peak area	RPA (%)	Peak area	RPA (%)	Peak area	RPA (%)	Peak area	RPA (%)	Peak area	RPA (%)	Odour descriptor	
							Acid	s					
Acetic acid ⁽²⁾	1469	$6.5\pm0.4^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.31	8.3 ± 0.9^{b}	0.30	$9.2\pm0.1^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.32	$12.4\pm0.3^{\circ}$	0.49	$12.2 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$	0.56	Vinegar ⁽³⁾ ; sour ⁽⁴⁾	
Butyric acid ⁽²⁾	1639	$5.8\pm0.9^{\rm a}$	0.28	$9.8\pm0.2^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.36	4.5 ± 0.1^{a}	0.16	$10.9\pm0.7^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.43	$11.6\pm0.8^{\rm b}$	0.53	Rancid, cheesy, sweat ⁽⁴⁾	
Hexanoic acid ^{(1), (2)}	1890	$2.5\pm0.8^{\rm ab}$	0.12	3.4 ± 0.4^{a}	0.12	1.4 ± 0.01^{b}	0.05	$6.2\pm0.4^{\circ}$	0.25	$6.7\pm0.6^{\circ}$	0.31	Sour, fatty, cheesy ⁽³⁾	
Octanoic acid ^{(1),(2)}	2110	11.9 ± 0.21^{a}	0.58	11.5 ± 0.2^{a}	0.42	$6.1\pm0.6^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.21	10.4 ± 1.2^{a}	0.41	11.6 ± 1.6^{a}	0.53	Sweat, cheesy ⁽⁴⁾	
Nonanoic acid	2219	1.9 ± 0.1^{a}	0.09	$0.9\pm0.08^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.03	$0.8\pm0.08^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.03	0.9 ± 0.06^{b}	0.04	$0.8\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	0.04	Green, fat ⁽⁴⁾	
Decanoic acid ⁽²⁾	2328	$8.4\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	0.41	$6.7 \pm 0.3^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.24	$4.1 \pm 0.7^{\rm c}$	0.14	$5.4\pm0.2^{\rm d}$	0.21	5.2 ± 0.1^{d}	0.24	Rancid, fat ⁽⁴⁾	
Dodecanoic acid ⁽²⁾	2544	15.6 ± 1.3^{a}	0.75	$15.6\pm1.0^{\rm a}$	0.57	$7.2 \pm 0.3^{\rm b}$	0.25	$10.7\pm0.1^{\circ}$	0.43	$10.6\pm0.1^\circ$	0.49	Fatty, coconut, bay oil ⁽³⁾	
Tetradecanoic acid	2757	1.8 ± 0.1^{a}	0.09	$1.6\pm0.01^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.06	$1.0\pm0.05^{\rm c}$	0.03	$1.2\pm0.07{}^{d}$	0.05	$1.1\pm0.01^{\circ}$	0.05	Waxy, fatty, soapy, creamy ⁽³⁾ ; metal ⁽⁴⁾	
Subtotal		54.4	2.63	57.8	2.10	34.3	1.19	58.1	2.31	59.8	2.74		
							Alcohe	slo					
Ethanol ⁽²⁾	948	$1100\pm111^{\rm a}$	53.2	1830 ± 30.8^{b}	9.99	$1430 \pm 58.8^{\circ}$	49.7	$1510 \pm 34.8^\circ$	60.0	1220 ± 104^{a}	56.0	Strong alcoholic ⁽³⁾ ; sweet ⁽⁴⁾	
Isobutyl alcohol ⁽²⁾	1099	$7.2\pm0.3^{\rm a}$	0.35	$11.5\pm0.3^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.42	8.4 ± 0.9^{a}	0.29	$12.9 \pm 0.3^{\text{b}}$	0.51	$12.5\pm0.3^{\rm b}$	0.57	Ether wine ⁽³⁾	
Active Amyl alcohol ⁽²⁾	1220	$5.3\pm0.1^{\rm ab}$	0.26	6.5 ± 0.6^{a}	0.24	4.2 ± 0.4 ^b	0.15	$12.5\pm0.1^{\rm c}$	0.50	25.1 ± 0.8^{d}	1.15	Fusel, winey, pungent ⁽³⁾ ; wine, onion ⁽⁴⁾	
Isoamyl alcohol ⁽²⁾	1221	$8.0\pm0.7^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.39	8.4 ± 0.4^{a}	0.31	6.7 ± 0.2^{a}	0.23	$31.2 \pm 1.5^{\text{b}}$	1.24	$12.7\pm0.6^{\circ}$	0.58	Whiskey, malt, burnt ⁽⁴⁾	
2-Phenylethyl alcohol ⁽²⁾	1944	$14.3\pm0.6^{\rm a}$	0.69	$13.3\pm0.7^{\rm a}$	0.48	$47 \pm 1.1^{\rm b}$	1.63	$14.2\pm0.7^{\rm a}$	0.56	$13.3\pm1.8^{\rm a}$	0.61	Rose, lilac, honey ⁽⁴⁾	
Subtotal		1134.8	54.8	1869.7	68.1	1496.3	52.0	1580.8	62.8	1283.6	58.9		
							Aldehy	des					
Benzaldehyde ⁽²⁾	1553	$0.3\pm0.0^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.01	$1.0\pm0.3^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.04	$1.1\pm0.06^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.04	$1.5\pm0.08^{\circ}$	0.06	$1.2\pm0.2^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.06	Almond, burnt sugar ⁽⁴⁾	
O-Tolualdehyde ⁽²⁾	1684	2.6 ± 0.1^{a}	0.13	$0.6\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	0.02	$1.5\pm0.1^{\circ}$	0.05	$2.3\pm0.01^{\rm d}$	0.09	$2.5\pm0.04^{\rm e}$	0.11	Almond, sweet, cherry pit, coumarin ⁽³⁾	
Ethyl-benzaldehyde	1876	6.6 ± 0.4^{a}	0.32	$9.2\pm0.3^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.34	$2.5\pm0.2^{\circ}$	0.09	$0.3\pm0.02^{\rm d}$	0.01	0.3 ± 0.01^{d}	0.01	Sweet ⁽⁴⁾	
Subtotal		9.5	0.46	10.8	0.39	5.1	0.18	4.1	0.16	4.0	0.18		
							Ester	S					
Methyl octanoate ⁽²⁾	1390	$0.7\pm0.05^{\rm a}$	0.03	0.7 ± 0.1^{a}	0.03	0.3 ± 0.02^{b}	0.01	$1.1\pm0.09^{\circ}$	0.04	$1.2\pm0.03^{\circ}$	0.06	Waxy, green, sweet, orange ⁽³⁾	
Methyl decanoate ⁽²⁾	1633	$1.3\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	0.06	$1.3\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	0.05	$0.9\pm0.05^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.03	$0.9\pm0.07^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.04	$0.7\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	0.03	Oily, wine, fruity, floral ⁽³⁾	
Methyl dodecanoate ⁽²⁾	1815	$4.1\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	0.20	$4.1\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	0.15	$2.0\pm0.06^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.07	$1.7\pm0.05^{\circ}$	0.07	$1.7\pm0.1^{\circ}$	0.08	Waxy, soapy, creamy coconut ⁽³⁾	
Ethyl butyrate ^{(1),(2)}	1034	$5.3\pm0.3^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.26	$7.4 \pm 0.3^{\rm b}$	0.27	5.1 ± 0.2^{a}	0.18	$9.2\pm0.4^{\circ}$	0.37	$5.8\pm0.4^{\rm a}$	0.27	Fruity, sweet ⁽³⁾ ; apple ⁽⁴⁾	
Ethyl hexanoate ^{(1),(2)}	1251	$2.0\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	0.10	$1.8\pm0.1^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.07	$1.0\pm0.1^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.03	$4.3\pm0.02^{\rm c}$	0.17	$4.4\pm0.1^{\circ}$	0.20	Sweet, pineapple ⁽³⁾ ; apple peel, fruity ⁽⁴⁾	
Ethyl octanoate ^{(1),(2)}	1436	$17.2\pm0.6^{\rm a}$	0.83	$23 \pm 0.7^{\rm b}$	0.84	$7.4\pm0.2^{\circ}$	0.26	$23.9\pm0.6^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.95	23.3 ± 1.0^{b}	1.07	Fruity, fat ⁽⁴⁾	
Ethyl decanoate ^{(1),(2)}	1649	30.1 ± 1.0^{a}	1.45	25.3 ± 1.7^{b}	0.92	$13.5\pm0.8^{\circ}$	0.47	$13 \pm 0.3^{\circ}$	0.52	$14.7\pm0.6^{\circ}$	0.67	Sweet apple, waxy, fruity ⁽³⁾ ; grape ⁽⁴⁾	
Ethyl dodecanoate ⁽²⁾	1857	49 ± 3.3^{a}	2.37	65.7±1.8 ^b	2.39	$27\pm0.2^{\circ}$	0.94	$20.5\pm0.9^{\rm d}$	0.81	17.4 ± 1.2^{d}	0.80	Sweet, waxy, floral, soapy ⁽³⁾	

		Contro	_	0.05% (w/v)	valine	0.05% (W/	V) addad	0.05% (w/v) l	eucine	0.05% (w. isolainina a	(V) المحلم	
Compounds identified in this study	RI	Peak area	RPA (%)	Peak area	RPA (%)	Peak area	RPA (%)	Peak area	RPA (%)	Peak area	RPA (%)	Odour descriptor
Ethyl tetradecanoate ⁽²⁾	2201	2.5 ± 0.1^{a}	0.12	$2.8\pm0.3^{\rm a}$	0.10	1.3 ± 0.1^{b}	0.05	$0.2\pm0.07^{\circ}$	0.01	$0.2\pm0.04^{\circ}$	0.01	Sweet, waxy ⁽³⁾
Ethyl 9-hexadecenoate ⁽²⁾	2337	$3.4\pm0.2^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.16	2.6±0.07 ^b	0.09	$1.7\pm0.1^{\circ}$	0.06	$0.8\pm0.03^{\rm d}$	0.03	$0.8\pm0.03^{\rm d}$	0.04	Creamy, waxy ⁽³⁾
Ethyl hexadecanoate ⁽²⁾	2306	1.4 ± 0.1^{a}	0.07	1.1 ± 0.09^{b}	0.04	$0.9\pm0.06^{\circ}$	0.03	$0.5\pm0.01^{\rm d}$	0.02	$0.5\pm0.02^{\rm d}$	0.02	Waxy, fruity, creamy, milky ⁽³⁾
Benzyl isothiocyanate ⁽²⁾	2140	$0.7\pm0.02^{\rm ab}$	0.03	0.8±0.03ª	0.03	$0.8\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	0.03	$0.6\pm0.03^{\rm bc}$	0.02	$0.5\pm0.04^{\mathrm{c}}$	0.02	Watercress, medicinal horseradish, oily ⁽³⁾
Isoamyl butyrate ⁽²⁾	1275	$0.2\pm0.0^{\rm a}$	0.01	$0.5\pm0.03^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.02	$0.5\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	0.02	$1.3\pm0.04^{\circ}$	0.05	$1.4\pm0.04^{\circ}$	0.06	Fruity, green apple, sweet estery, waxy ⁽³⁾
Isoamyl propionate ⁽²⁾	1197	$0.6\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	0.03	0.9 ± 0.02^{b}	0.03	$0.3\pm0.0^{\circ}$	0.01	$2.2\pm0.1^{\rm d}$	0.09	$1.4\pm0.04^{\mathrm{e}}$	0.06	Sweet, fruity, banana, pineapple-like, tropical ⁽³⁾
2-Phenylethyl butyrate	1941	1.0 ± 0.1^{a}	0.05	0.5 ± 0.01^{b}	0.02	$1.3\pm0.08^{\circ}$	0.05	$0.2\pm0.01^{\rm d}$	0.01	0.2 ± 0.0^{d}	0.01	Sweet, floral, musty, fruity ⁽³⁾
Methyl acetate ⁽²⁾	865	$2.4\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	0.12	2.9 ± 0.3^{b}	0.11	$1.7\pm0.2^{\circ}$	0.06	$1.5\pm0.01^{\circ}$	0.06	$1.6\pm0.1^{\circ}$	0.07	Fruity, estery, winey, etherial ⁽³⁾
Ethyl acetate ⁽²⁾	916	521 ± 22.4^{a}	25.2	471±29.5 ^a	17.2	518 ± 2.1^{a}	18.0	$386 \pm 19.3^{\mathrm{b}}$	15.4	$391 \pm 6.4^{\mathrm{b}}$	17.9	Sweet, fruity, etherial ⁽³⁾ ; pineapple ⁽⁴⁾
Propyl acetate ⁽²⁾	1001	$5.0\pm0.07^{\rm a}$	0.24	$6.2\pm0.5^{\rm b}$	0.23	$5.0\pm0.4^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.17	$8.2\pm0.1^{\circ}$	0.33	$4.2\pm0.1^{\rm a}$	0.19	Pear, fruity ⁽³⁾
Butyl acetate	1061	$1.2\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	0.06	$1.7 \pm 0.1^{\rm b}$	0.06	$1.6\pm0.06^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.06	$2.0\pm0.04^{\circ}$	0.08	1.3 ± 0.1^{a}	0.06	Etherial, sharp, fruity banana, sweet ⁽³⁾
Isobutyl acetate ^{(1),(2)}	1029	$2.8\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	0.14	2.7 ± 0.2^{a}	0.10	$2.1\pm0.1^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.07	$4.7\pm0.4^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.19	4.7 ± 0.8^{b}	0.22	Sweet, fruity, etherial, banana, tropical ⁽³⁾
Active amyl acetate	1097	$1.7\pm0.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.08	$1.9\pm0.07^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.07	$1.3\pm0.1^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.05	$6.8\pm0.7^{\rm b}$	0.27	$28.8\pm0.9^{\rm c}$	1.32	Sweet, banana, fruity, ripe ⁽³⁾
Isoamyl acetate ^{(1),(2)}	1099	91 ± 4.0^{a}	4.40	$63.3\pm0.7^{\rm a}$	2.31	$60.4\pm2.5^{\mathrm{a}}$	2.10	$300 \pm 17^{\rm b}$	11.9	$232 \pm 22.4^{\circ}$	10.6	Sweet, fruity with a ripe estery nuance $^{(3)}$; banana $^{(3)}$.
Benzyl acetate ⁽²⁾	1753	$2.6\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	0.13	$2.8{\pm}0.03^{a}$	0.10	$2.0\pm0.07^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.07	$2.5\pm0.06^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.10	2.7 ± 0.1^{a}	0.12	Sweet, floral, fruity, jasmine ^{(3)} ; fresh, boiled vegetable ^{(4)}
2-Phenylethyl acetate ^{(1),(2)}	1841	123 ± 11.6^{a}	5.94	116 ± 13.4^{ab}	4.22	$688\pm20.2^{\rm d}$	24.0	79.8 ± 8^{bc}	3.17	$91.8\pm5.0^{\rm ac}$	4.21	Sweet, honey, floral rosy ⁽³⁾
Subtotal		870.2	42.1	807	29.4	1344.1	46.7	871.9	34.7	832.3	38.2	
							Keto	ne				
B-Damascenone ⁽²⁾	1845	$0.6\pm0.06^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.03	0.7 ± 0.04^{b}	0.03	$0.5\pm0.02^{\rm ac}$		0.5 ± 0.02^{ac}	0.02	$0.4\pm0.01^{\circ}$	0.02	Rose, apple, honey ⁽⁴⁾
Total		2069.5		2746		2880.3		2515.4		2180.1		
RI: retention index												
^{a,b,c,d,e} Statistical analysis a	it 95% (confidence le	evel wi	th same lette	ers indic	cating no sign	ificant o	difference.				
^{(1), (2)} MS data and retentic	on inde:	x in agreeme	int with	those in the	literati	are [Duarte et	<i>al</i> . (20	10) and Lee	<i>et al.</i> (2	(010) respect	ively]	
⁽³⁾ From Luebke (1980).		1										

S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic., Vol. 32, No. 2, 2011

⁽⁴⁾From Acree & Arn (2004).

TABLE 2 (CONTINUED)



FIGURE 3

Changes in other esters in papaya wine during fermentation by *Williopsis saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC2251 with different amino acids added (w/v). Control (\blacklozenge); 0.05% valine (\blacktriangle); 0.05% phenylalanine (\blacksquare); 0.05% leucine ($\stackrel{*}{}$); 0.05% isoleucine (\square).

be due to the fact that a mixture of amino acids and different yeasts were used in these studies.

Esters were the most abundant aroma compounds produced by yeast strain NCYC2251 during papaya juice fermentation, ranging from 29.4 to 46.7% (RPA). They included acetate esters, ethyl esters, methyl esters, and other esters (Figs. 2 and 3, Table 2). Acetate esters tended to increase initially and then decline, with the exception of 2-phenylethyl acetate, which increased and remained stable (Fig. 2). Ethyl esters generally increased during fermentation. Among the miscellaneous esters, isoamyl propionate, isoamyl butyrate and 2-phenylethyl butyrate increased initially, followed by a decline (Fig. 3).

The impact of amino acid addition on ester production varied with esters. The addition of L-phenylalanine increased the production of 2-phenylethyl acetate and 2-phenylethyl butyrate, while it reduced the formation of isobutyl acetate, isoamyl acetate and isoamyl butyrate (Figs. 2 and 3). Fermentation with added L-phenylalanine displayed significant production of 2-phenylethyl acetate, at 14.30 mg/L (Table 3). The increased production of 2-phenylethyl acetate was likely due to the presence of high amounts of 2-phenylethanol and acetyl-CoA, which provided the necessary precursors for the formation of different acetate esters by the action of alcohol acetyltransferase (AAT) enzymes (Viana *et al.*, 2008). The decreased production of other acetate esters upon the addition of L-phenylalanine (Fig. 2) could be due to competition for and diversion of acetyl-CoA to 2-phenylethyl ester formation, or competition for the uptake of substrates such as amino acids that may serve as aroma precursors.

L-leucine addition enhanced the formation of propyl acetate, isoamyl butyrate and isoamyl propionate and produced the highest amount of isoamyl acetate, at 8.29

TABLE 3

Concentrations of major aroma compounds (mg/L) in papaya wine fermented with *Williopsis mrakii* with different amino acids added at day 21

Compounds quantified	CAS no. ⁽¹⁾	Control	0.05% (w/v) valine added	0.05% (w/v) phenylalanine added	0.05% (w/v) leucine added	0.05% (w/v) isoleucine added	Odor threshold ⁽²⁾ (mg/L)
Ethanol	000064-17-5	17122 ± 546^{ab}	$18712\pm63^{\rm a}$	$12673\pm938^{\circ}$	16749 ± 440^{ab}	$16242\pm867^{\rm b}$	
Isoamyl alcohol	000123-51-3	$13.53\pm0.91^{\text{ab}}$	$14.92\pm1.46^{\mathrm{ac}}$	$11.36 \pm 0.93^{\mathrm{b}}$	$19.98\pm1.35^{\text{ d}}$	$17.66\pm0.92^{\text{dc}}$	30.00
Active amyl alcohol	000137-32-6	$0.69\pm0.03^{\rm \ a}$	$0.98\pm0.06^{\rm b}$	$0.45\pm0.05^{\circ}$	$1.10\pm0.01^{\text{ b}}$	$1.77\pm0.15^{\rm d}$	65.00
Isobutyl alcohol	000078-83-1	2.26 ± 0.22^{a}	$9.17\pm0.77^{\mathrm{b}}$	$1.77\pm0.18^{\mathrm{a}}$	$6.00\pm0.21^{\circ}$	$6.51\pm0.32^{\circ}$	40.00
2-Phenylethyl alcohol	000060-12-8	$2.29\pm0.13^{\mathrm{a}}$	$2.57\pm0.37^{\rm \ a}$	$17.16\pm2.48^{\mathrm{b}}$	2.24 ± 0.10^{a}	1.99 ± 0.26^{a}	10.00
Octanoic acid	000124-07-2	0.37 ± 0.04^{a}	$0.28\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	$0.03\pm0.00^{\rm c}$	$0.28\pm0.01^{\text{ b}}$	$0.38\pm0.02^{\rm \ a}$	8.80
Ethyl octanoate	000106-32-1	$0.07\pm0.01^{\text{ a}}$	$0.11 \pm 0.01^{\mathrm{b}}$	$0.04\pm0.00^{\circ}$	$0.13\pm0.01^{\text{bd}}$	$0.11\pm0.01^{\text{cd}}$	0.02
Ethyl decanoate	000110-38-3	0.29 ± 0.04^{a}	0.28 ± 0.00^{a}	$0.20\pm0.02^{\rm\ b}$	$0.23\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	$0.25\pm0.02^{\rm ab}$	0.20
Ethyl dodecanoate	000106-33-2	3.97 ± 0.40^{a}	$4.87\pm0.15^{\mathrm{b}}$	$3.70\pm0.10^{\mathrm{a}}$	3.55 ± 0.19^{a}	3.52 ± 0.30^{a}	1.2(3)
Isoamyl acetate	000123-92-2	6.48 ± 0.09^{a}	6.38 ± 0.04^{a}	$6.57\pm0.18^{\mathrm{a}}$	$8.29\pm0.04^{\rm \ b}$	$7.10\pm0.10^{\circ}$	0.03
Active amyl acetate	000624-41-9	$0.015\pm0.00^{\text{a}}$	0.015 ± 0.00^{a}	$0.014\pm0.00^{\text{ a}}$	0.013 ± 0.00^{a}	$0.06\pm0.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	0.16
Isobutyl acetate	000110-19-0	$0.008\pm0.00^{\rm a}$	$0.009{\pm}0.001^{\rm b}$	$0.007\pm0.001^{\rm ac}$	$0.005\pm0.00^{\circ}$	$0.007\pm0.001^{\text{a}}$	1.60
2-Phenylethyl acetate	000103-45-7	$1.76\pm0.16^{\rm a}$	$1.82\pm0.08^{\rm a}$	$14.30\pm1.64^{\rm b}$	$1.37\pm0.11^{\rm a}$	$1.74\pm0.10^{\rm a}$	0.25

a,b,c,d Statistical analysis at 95% confidence level with same letters indicating no significant difference.

⁽¹⁾CAS number obtained from Wiley MS library.

⁽²⁾From Bartowsky and Pretorius (2009).

⁽³⁾Ferreira *et al.* (2000). The matrix was an 11% ethanol aqueous solution containing 7 g/L of glycerol and 5 g/L of tartaric acid, with units adjusted to mg/L.

mg/L, while L-isoleucine addition produced the highest amount of active amyl acetate, at 0.06 mg/L (Figs. 2 and 3, Table 3). Similarly to L-phenylalanine addition, the increased production of isoamyl acetate and active amyl acetate was likely due to the increased amounts of respective higher alcohols, together with the acetyl-CoA produced from the sugars and other substrates. The increased production of other esters with the addition of L-leucine and L-isoleucine could be related to the uptake and metabolism of other substrates, such as the enhanced or inhibited uptake of certain amino acids. Further studies are needed to elucidate this.

The addition of L-valine only slightly increased isobutyl acetate production, by 0.009 mg/L (Table 3). The addition of amino acids did not affect the formation and/or degradation of ethyl acetate and benzyl isothiocyanate, except for those added with L-leucine and L-isoleucine (Table 2). The formation of aroma-active ethyl octanoate was increased with the addition of L-leucine, L-isoleucine and L-valine, while the addition of L-phenylalanine reduced the production of most ethyl esters (Tables 2 and 3). The reduction in the ethyl esters with the addition of L-phenylalanine could be related to the reduced *de novo* biosynthesis of fatty acyl Co-A associated with fatty acid and/or sugar metabolism. The effect of L-isoleucine and L-valine additions on the production of other ethyl esters varied (Tables 2 and 3). The final concentrations of esters were dependent on the stability and determined any significant differences at the statistical level, which varied among the different treatments (Table 2).

Comparison of quantified and semi-quantified major volatiles

The quantified and semi-quantified volatiles showed generally similar trends (Tables 2 and 3). However, discrepancies were observed between the semi-quantitative and the quantified results for some volatiles, such as ethanol, isobutyl alcohol and isobutyl acetate. This may be attributed to the deterioration of the mixed coating on the fibre upon the extraction of wine samples (Bianco et al., 2009) and possibly thermal deterioration of the fibre with numerous injections. Nevertheless, linear calibration curves obtained in this study had R² values of at least 0.98 (data not shown), and the relative standard derivation of the results in Table 3 was less than 14%, indicating moderately good repeatability under the analytical conditions used. In general, HS-SPME is used mainly as a qualitative or semi-quantitative method for the analysis of wine aroma compound evolution (Tao et al., 2008). Moreover, Baptista et al. (1998) stated that SPME can also be used as a quantitative method for the accurate and precise analysis of volatiles, as long as consistent and optimised sampling conditions are utilised.

CONCLUSION

In this study, fermentation performance and the formation/ utilisation of aroma compounds during papaya juice fermentation by *W. saturnus* var. *mrakii* NCYC2251 were assessed, together with the effects of the addition of amino acids, namely L-leucine, L-isoleucine, L-valine and L-phenylalanine. Overall, *W. mrakii* showed a capability to produce papaya wine with a wider range of aroma compounds with the addition of a specific amino acid. Papaya juice fermentation with *W. mrakii*, together with adding a specific

LITERATURE CITED

Acree, T. & Arn, H., 2004. Flavornet [Online]. Available: http://www.flavornet.org/flavornet.html (Accessed 25 May 2010).

Baptista, J.A.B., da P Tavares, J.F. & Carvalho, R.C.B., 1998. Comparison of catechins and aromas among different green teas using HPLC/SPME-GC. Food Res. Int. 31, 729-736.

Bartowsky, E.J. & Pretorius, I.S., 2009. Microbial formation and modification of flavour and off-flavour. In: Konig, H., Unden, G. & Frohlich, J. (eds.). Biology of microorganisms on grapes, in must and in wine. Springer, New York. pp. 215-217.

Bell, S.J. & Henschke, P.A., 2005. Implications of nitrogen nutrition for grapes, fermentation and wine. Aust. J. Grape Wine Res. 11, 242-295.

Beltran, G., Esteve Zarzoso, B., Rozes, N., Mas, A. & Guillamon, J.M., 2005. Influence of the timing of nitrogen additions during synthetic grape must fermentations on fermentations kinetics and nitrogen consumption. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 996-1002.

Bely, M., Rinaldi, A. & Dubourdieu, D., 2003. Influence of assimilable nitrogen on volatile acidity production by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* during high sugar fermentation. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 96, 507-512.

Bianco, G., Novario, G. & Zianni, R., 2009. Comparison of two SPME fibers for the extraction of some off-flavor cork-taint compounds in bottled wines investigated by GC–HRMS. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 393, 2019-2027.

Bisson, L.F. & Butzke, C.E., 2000. Diagnosis and rectification of stuck and sluggish fermentation. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 51, 168-177.

Burman, L., Albertsson, A.C. & Hoglund, A., 2005. Solid-phase microextraction for qualitative and quantitative determination of migrated degradation products of antioxidants in an organic aqueous solution. J. Chromatogr. A 1080, 107-116.

Charoenchai, C., Fleet, G., Henschke, P. & Todd, B., 1997. Screening of non-*Saccharomyces* wine yeasts for the presence of extracellular hydrolytic enzymes. Aust. J. Grape Wine Res. 3, 2-8.

Dickinson, J.R., Lanterman, M.M., Danner, D.J., Pearson, B.M., Sanz, P., Harrison, S.J. & Hewlins, M.J.E., 1997. A ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance investigation of the metabolism of leucine to isoamyl alcohol in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 272, 26871-26878.

Dickinson, J.R., Harrison, S.J. & Michael, J.E., 1998. An investigation of the metabolism of valine to isobutyl alcohol in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 25751-25756.

Dickinson, J.R., Harrison, S.J., Dickinson, J.A. & Hewlins, M.J.E., 2000. An investigation of the metabolism of isoleucine to active amyl alcohol in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 10937-10942.

Duarte, W.F., Dias, D.R., Oliveira, J.M., Vilanova, M., Teixeira, J.A., Silva, J.B.A. & Schwan, R.F., 2010. Raspberry (*Rubus idaeus* L.) wine: yeast selection, sensory evaluation and instrumental analysis of volatile and other compounds. Food Res. Int. 43, 2303-2314.

Etschmann, M., Bluemke, W., Sell, D. & Schrader, J., 2002. Biotechnological production of 2-phenylethanol. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 59, 1-8.

Ferreira, V., Lopez, R. & Cacho, J.F., 2000. Quantitative determination of the odorants of young red wines from different grape varieties. J. Sci. Food Agric. 80, 1659-1667.

Garde-Cerdan, T. & Ancın-Azpilicueta, C., 2008. Effect of the addition of different quantities of amino acids to nitrogen-deficient must on the formation of esters, alcohols, and acids during wine alcoholic fermentation. LWT Food Sci. Technol. 41, 501-510.

Hernandez-Orte, P., Cacho, J. & Ferreira, V., 2002. Relationship between varietal amino acid profile of grapes and wine aromatic composition. Experiments with model solutions and chemometric study. J. Agric. Food Chem. 50, 2891-2899.

Hernandez-Orte, P., Ibarz, M.J., Cacho, J. & Ferreira, V., 2005. Effect of the addition of ammonium and amino acids to musts of Airen variety on aromatic composition and sensory properties of the obtained wine. Food Chem. 89, 163-174.

Hernandez-Orte, P., Ibarz, M.J., Cacho, J. & Ferreira, V., 2006. Addition of amino acids to grape juice of the Merlot variety: effect on amino acid uptake and aroma generation during alcoholic fermentation. Food Chem. 98, 300-310.

Inoue, Y., Trevanichi, S., Fukuda, K., Izawa, S., Wakai, Y. & Kimura, A., 1997. Roles of esterase and alcohol acetyltransferase on production of isoamyl acetate in *Hansenula mrakii*. J. Agric. Food Chem. 45, 644-649.

Iwase, T., Morikawa, T., Fukuda, H., Sasaki, K. & Yoshitake, M., 1995. Production of fruity odor by genus *Williopsis*. J. Brew. Soc. Japan 90, 394-396.

Lambrechts, M.G. & Pretorius, I.S., 2000. Yeasts and its importance to wine aroma – a review. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 21, 97-129.

Lee, P.R., Ong, Y.L., Yu, B., Curran, P. & Liu, S.Q., 2010. Evolution of volatile compounds in papaya wine fermented with three *Williopsis saturnus* yeasts. Int. J. Food Sci. Tech. 45, 2032-2041.

Luebke, W., 1980. The good scents company [Online]. Available: http:// www.thegoodscentscompany.com/index.html (Accessed 25 May 2010).

Ough, C.S., Crowell, E.A. & Mooney, L.A., 1988. Formation of ethyl carbamate precursors during grape juice (Chardonnay) fermentation. I. Addition of amino acids, urea and ammonium: effects of fortification of intracellular and extracellular precursors. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 39, 243-249.

Perez, A.G., Rios, J.J., Sanz, C. & Olias, J.M., 1992. Aroma components and free amino acids in strawberry variety Chandler during ripening. J. Agric. Food Chem. 40, 2232-2235.

Pretorius, I., Van der Westhuizen, T. & Augustyn, O., 1999. Yeast biodiversity in vineyard and wineries and its importance to the South African wine industry: a review. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 20, 61-74.

Rojas, V., Gil, J.V., Pinaga, F. & Manzanares, P., 2001. Studies on acetate ester production by non-*Saccharomyces* wine yeasts. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 70, 283-289.

Rojas, V., Gil, J.V., Pinaga, F. & Manzanares, P., 2003. Acetate ester formation in wine by mixed cultures in laboratory fermentations. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 86, 181-188.

Sablayrolles, J.M., 2009. Control of alcoholic fermentation in winemaking: current situation and prospect. Food Res. Int. 42, 418-424.

Strauss, M., Jolly, N., Lambrechts, M. & Van Rensburg, P., 2001. Screening for the production of extracellular hydrolytic enzymes by non-*Saccharomyces* wine yeasts. J. Appl. Microbiol. 91, 182-190.

Tao, Y.S., Li, H., Wang, H. & Zhang, L., 2008. Volatile compounds of young Cabernet Sauvignon red wine from Changli County (China). J. Food Compos. Anal. 21, 689-694.

USDA (The United States Department of Agriculture), 2009. USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 22 [Online]. Agricultural Research Service, Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page. Available at: http://www.ars.usda.gov/ba/bhnrc/ndl (Accessed 25 May 2010).

Viana, F., Gil, G.V., Genoves, S., Valles, S. & Manzanares, P., 2008. Rational selection of non-*Saccharomyces* wine yeasts for mixed starters based on ester formation and enological traits. Food Microbiol. 25, 778-785.