

# The Potential Use of Entomopathogenic Nematodes to Control *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae)

P.D. le Vieux\* and A.P. Malan

Department of Conservation Ecology and Entomology, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa

Submitted for publication: June 2013

Accepted for publication: August 2013

Key words: Bioassays, Heterorhabditidae, *Heterorhabditis zealandica*, insect parasitic nematodes, vine mealybug, Steinernematidae, *Steinernema yirgalemense*

Laboratory bioassays were conducted to establish the potential of entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) as biocontrol agents of *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret). Six indigenous and two commercially available nematode species were screened for their efficacy in killing adult female *P. ficus*. The two indigenous species with the most promising results were *Heterorhabditis zealandica* and *Steinernema yirgalemense*, which were responsible for 96% and 65% mortality respectively. Tests were conducted to compare the efficacy of *H. bacteriophora* and *S. feltiae* produced *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* showed no significant difference in efficacy between the two production methods, but *in vivo*-cultured *S. feltiae* produced a significantly higher mean mortality of 40%, in contrast to a 19% mean mortality with *in vitro*-produced infective juveniles (IJs). The capability of both *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* to complete their life cycles in the host and to produce a new cohort of IJs was demonstrated. Bioassays indicated a concentration-dependent susceptibility of *P. ficus* to *H. zealandica*, *S. yirgalemense* and commercially produced *H. bacteriophora*, with LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of 19, 82; 13, 80; and 36, 555 respectively. Both *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* were able to move 15 cm vertically downward and infect *P. ficus* with a respective mortality of 82% and 95%. This study showed *P. ficus* to be a suitable host for *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense*, with both nematode species showing considerable potential for future use in the field control of *P. ficus*.

## INTRODUCTION

Mealybugs (Pseudococcidae) are severe agricultural pests that pose major problems for farmers (Miller *et al.*, 2002). The vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret), has been shown to be the dominant mealybug species in South African vineyards (Kriegler, 1954; Walton, 2003), and is characteristically more economically damaging than any other mealybug species found on vines (Haviland *et al.*, 2005; Daane *et al.*, 2008).

Compared to *Pseudococcus maritimus* (Ehrhorn), *Pseudococcus longispinus* (Targioni-Tozzetti) and *Pseudococcus viburni* (Signoret), *P. ficus* excrete more honeydew per individual, have both a faster development time and a higher reproductive rate of more than 250 eggs per female, and are able to feed on all parts of the vine throughout the year (Daane *et al.*, 2003, 2008; Haviland *et al.*, 2005). *Planococcus ficus* is a vector for Shiraz disease, corky bark disease and the grapevine leafroll-associated virus 3 (GLRaV-3), making it a potential economic threat even at low densities (Walton & Pringle, 2004b; Douglas & Kruger, 2008; Holm, 2008). Mealybugs are difficult

to control with chemicals due to their cryptic lifestyles of hiding in crevices, under bark and below ground on roots, where they are protected from insecticidal sprays (Walton & Pringle, 2004b). Their hydrophobic waxy secretions repel water-based insecticides, and they have the ability to develop resistance rapidly (Flaherty *et al.*, 1982; Walton & Pringle, 2004b; Franco *et al.*, 2009). There thus is a need for new and improved *P. ficus* control options.

Entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) of the Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae families occur in soils in most parts of the globe, and are deadly parasites to a wide range of insects (Stuart *et al.*, 1997). Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae have a unique symbiotic association with the entomopathogenic bacteria genera *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* respectively, and together they effectively parasitise and kill their insect hosts (Ehlers, 2001). When encountering a suitable host, the free-living, non-feeding infective juvenile (IJ) enters the host via natural openings such as the anus, mouth or spiracles (Gaugler *et al.*, 1997; Griffin *et al.*, 2005). The bacteria grow rapidly in the nutrient-rich haemolymph and produce toxins and other metabolites

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: plevieux@sun.ac.za

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Winetech and the National Research Foundation of South Africa (TP2011060100026) for funding the project

that kill the host by means of inducing septicaemia within 24 to 48 hours of infection (Gaugler *et al.*, 1997; Ehlers, 2001; Griffin *et al.*, 2005).

A growing amount of interest has been shown in the notable potential of Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae for inundative application as biocontrol agents against economically important insect pests (Griffin *et al.*, 2005). The advantages of using nematodes are that they actively seek out well-hidden hosts and, combined with their associated bacteria, quickly kill their hosts. Despite their wide host range, they are not pathogenic to vertebrates. In addition, they are compatible with commercial rearing and application techniques (Gaugler, 1988; Bathon, 1996; Stuart *et al.*, 1997). The commercial production of entomopathogenic nematodes as an environmentally safer option to the use of chemical insecticides can be regarded as being due to the increased understanding of their biology, and improved production methods and storage formulations (Friedman, 1990; Ehlers, 1996). According to Le Vieux and Malan (2013), such increased understanding and commercial production of EPNs are both pertinent in the pursuit of sustainable agriculture, and in helping to alleviate the global issues of species extinctions and ecosystem and biodiversity loss.

Unfortunately, only a few studies have been undertaken as of yet to test the efficacy of South African EPN species against insect pests. Even fewer tests have been done to determine the susceptibility of Pseudococcidae to EPNs. It is important that South African species of EPN should be discovered and tested. As a precautionary policy, strict regulations concerning the importing of exotic organisms into South Africa cover the importation of EPNs, among other organisms (in terms of amended Act 18 of 1989, under the Agricultural Pest Act 36 of 1947). Such regulations ultimately prevent the importing of exotic, commercially available EPNs prior to conducting a full impact study (Malan *et al.*, 2006). The concern is that exotic nematode species might have effects on non-target South African organisms, while possibly displacing indigenous nematode species. In addition, exotic nematodes generally are not adapted well to local environmental conditions (Grewal *et al.*, 2001; Ehlers, 2005). Increasing numbers of new South African EPN species with biocontrol potential are still in the process of being studied. Examples of such species include *Steinernema citrae* Stokwe, Malan & Nguyen, 2010, *Heterorhabditis safricana* Malan, Nguyen & Tiedt, 2008, *Steinernema khoisanae* Nguyen, Malan & Gozel, 2006, *Heterorhabditis zealandica*

Poinar, 1990, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* Poinar, 1976, *Heterorhabditis noenieputensis* Malan, Knoetze & Tiedt, 2013, and *Steinernema yirgalemense* Tesfamariam, Gozel, Gaugler & Adams, 2004 (Malan *et al.*, 2006, 2011).

In South Africa, Stokwe (2009) performed various bioassays on *P. viburni*, the obscure mealybug. *Heterorhabditis zealandica* was shown to be the most lethal candidate of 16 different local EPN strains. Both *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* were able to complete their life cycle and to reproduce in *P. viburni* (Stokwe & Malan, 2010). On the testing of host size susceptibility to *H. zealandica*, the adult and intermediate life stages were shown to be the most susceptible to EPN infection, with 78% and 76% mortality respectively (Stokwe & Malan, 2010). *Heterorhabditis zealandica* was found to be able to enter the core of *P. viburni*-contaminated apples, enabling it to infect the mealybugs inside the fruit (Stokwe & Malan, 2010).

Another South African study on mealybugs was undertaken by Van Niekerk (2012), who conducted different bioassays and field trials to determine the potential of South African EPN isolates to control *Pseudococcus citri*, the citrus mealybug. Tests showed that *P. citri* was most susceptible to *H. zealandica* (with 91% mortality) and *S. yirgalemense* (with 97% mortality) (Van Niekerk & Malan, 2012). Both species were able to complete their life cycles within the insect host, while *S. yirgalemense* proved to be more tolerant to lower levels of free water and faster at locating and infecting *P. citri* than *H. zealandica* (Van Niekerk, 2012).

In the current study, the main objective was to establish the potential of EPNs to control adult female *P. ficus* under laboratory conditions. Screening was done to determine which nematode species was the most pathogenic to *P. ficus*. Once established, the best two candidates concerned were exposed to biological and life cycle studies within *P. ficus*. Further laboratory bioassays were conducted to establish ideal nematode concentrations; to compare virulence levels between commercially produced and recycled commercially produced nematodes; and to establish the ability of nematodes to detect, move vertically and infect mealybugs in a sand column.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Source of nematodes

The six South African nematode species that were used in the current study were obtained from previous local surveys and were stored in the Stellenbosch University nematode collection (Table 1) (Malan *et al.*, 2006, 2011). IJs of the

TABLE 1

South African *Steinernema* and *Heterorhabditis* species, isolate, habitat, locality and GenBank accession number used (Malan *et al.*, 2006, 2011).

Species	Isolate	Habitat	Locality	GenBank accession number
<i>S. khoisanae</i>	SF80	Disturbed	Villiersdorp, Western Cape	DQ314289
<i>S. citrae</i>	141-C	Disturbed	Piketberg, Western Cape	EU740970
<i>S. yirgalemense</i>	157-C	Disturbed	Friedenheim, Mpumalanga	EU625295
<i>H. bacteriophora</i>	SF351	Disturbed	Wellington, Western Cape	FJ455843
<i>H. safricana</i>	SF281	Disturbed	Piketberg, Western Cape	EF488006
<i>H. zealandica</i>	SF41	Natural	Patensie, Eastern Cape	EU699436

six species were cultured *in vivo*, using the last instar of the greater wax moth larvae, *Galleria mellonella* (Linnaeus) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) at room temperature (Griffin *et al.*, 2005). The IJ rearing and harvesting procedures were conducted according to the methods of Kaya and Stock (1997) and White (1927). IJs from the White trap were harvested within the first week of emergence and stored horizontally in 500 ml vented culture flasks containing approximately 150 ml distilled water at 14°C. The nematodes were used within a month after harvesting. To aid in aeration and nematode survival during storage, the culture flasks were shaken weekly. The two commercially produced nematode species were obtained from e-nema (Raisdorf, Germany) and stored in the refrigerator at approximately 4°C for a few days before use. The nematode concentrations used for different experiments were calculated using the equation developed by Navon and Ascher (2000).

### Source of insects

To ensure a constant, reliable supply of healthy mealybugs for experiments, a laboratory culture must be established. Consequently, a colony *P. ficus* was reared in the laboratory at 25°C on fresh butternuts within a wooden, framed cage (650 mm × 350 mm × 590 mm). The front of the cage was transparent Perspex, for ease of viewing, with insect-proof mesh on the top and sides to allow for adequate ventilation and air flow. The bottom of the cage was covered with wax paper. Clean butternuts were placed against infested butternuts to allow for the quick and easy dispersal of the *P. ficus* individuals concerned. To increase colony size, more butternuts were added, whereas rotting butternuts were discarded. Female mealybugs were removed from the butternuts using a fine paintbrush to minimise injury. The initial *P. ficus* colony was obtained from the Agricultural Research Council (ARC)-Infruitech-Nietvoorbij, Stellenbosch.

The *G. mellonella* larvae were reared on a diet consisting of a mixture of five parts baby cereal (Cerelac Nestlé™), brown bread flour and bran, two parts yeast and wheat germ, and one part honey, and kept in a growth chamber at 28°C.

### Bioassay protocol

For the test arena, 24-well bioassay trays (Flat bottom, Nunc™, Cat. No. 144530, Thermo Fisher Scientific (Pty) Ltd., Gauteng, Johannesburg, South Africa) were used. The bottom of each alternate well was lined with a circular (13 mm diameter) piece of filter paper. Five trays were used for each treatment, as well as five trays for the control. A single adult female *P. ficus* was placed in each well and inoculated with the desired IJ concentration per 50 µl, using an Eppendorf micropipette. The *P. ficus* individuals in the controls received 50 µl of water only. To prevent the insects from escaping, each plate was covered with a glass pane inside the lid, held closed with a rubber band. The trays were placed in plastic containers, lined with moistened paper towels and closed with a lid to ensure high humidity levels (RH ± 95%). The trays were placed in a dark growth chamber at 25 ± 2°C for 48 h. After two days, mortality was determined and dead individuals from the treatment were removed and rinsed of external IJs. Water was then

placed in small Petri dishes, which were lined with moist filter paper, sealed with PARAFILM®, and placed back in the growth chamber at 25 ± 2°C for another 48 h. A water drop was placed on each of the cadavers for dissection. The cadavers were viewed with the aid of a stereomicroscope to validate that the deaths concerned had been due to nematode infection. Cadavers with visible nematodes were recorded as having been infected, while others (in both the control and treatments) were recorded as having died from natural causes.

### Screening

The 24-well bioassay protocol was used to test the ability of the six endemic EPN species (Table 1) and two commercially produced EPN species to infect adult female *P. ficus* under optimal laboratory conditions. The bioassay protocol was followed and, in order to reduce any edge effects and thus to ensure an even distribution in the plate, the bottom of each alternative well was lined with a circular (13 mm diameter) piece of filter paper. As a result of this procedure, 12 wells were occupied per tray, each containing a single mealybug. Five trays were used for the treatment of each nematode species, and five trays for the control. Each mealybug in the treatment was inoculated with 100 IJs/50 µl of water. The procedure was repeated on a separate date with a different batch of nematodes.

### Biological study

The current qualitative study entailed detailing and recording the developmental progression of both *S. yirgalemense* and *H. zealandica* within adult female *P. ficus* individuals. Using the multi-well bioassay protocol, adult female mealybugs were infected, using 100 IJs of both nematode species. Two days post-inoculation, 200 infected mealybugs of each nematode species were selected and rinsed with distilled water to remove excess IJs from the surface of the insect. A total of 50 insects was placed on four damp filter papers in 13 cm diameter Petri dishes, and sealed with PARAFILM®. Twenty-five individuals for each nematode species were selected at random, dissected and inspected at a time, beginning at 48 h and then again one to two days thereafter, until the life cycle was completed or ceased to continue. The mean number of IJs that penetrated the mealybugs was recorded for the first two dissections, while the life cycle progression of the nematodes, and the colour changes in the mealybug, were recorded throughout. To establish whether the two nematode species would complete their life cycle in *P. ficus*, ten infected individuals were left in White traps, with the average IJ production per mealybug being calculated for both nematode species.

### Effect of nematode concentrations on levels of *Planococcus ficus* mortality

The effect of increasing concentrations of *S. yirgalemense*, *H. zealandica* and *H. bacteriophora* was tested. Five 24-well bioassay trays were used, of which eight evenly distributed wells were lined with a circular (13 mm diameter) piece of filter paper in which a single adult female mealybug was placed. The above-mentioned procedure was carried out for each of the nematode concentrations tested (0, 5, 10, 20, 40

and 80 IJs/50  $\mu$ l/mealybug). The trays were placed in plastic containers lined with moistened paper towels, and closed to ensure high humidity levels (RH  $\pm$  95%). They were then placed in a dark growth chamber at 25  $\pm$  2°C for 48 h. The procedure was repeated on a separate date, using a new batch of nematodes.

### Virulence comparison between *in vivo* and *in vitro* nematodes

The *in vitro*, commercially produced *S. feltiae* (CSf), and the commercially produced *H. bacteriophora* (CHb) were used to determine the ability of *in vitro*- and *in vivo*-cultured EPNs to infect *P. ficus*. The commercially produced nematodes were used to inoculate *G mellonella* larvae, and the emerged IJs were harvested as having been 'recycled' (*in vivo*) from White traps, and then used to inoculate the mealybugs. From this point on they therefore are referred to in the current study as recycled commercially produced *S. feltiae* (RCSf), and recycled commercially produced *H. bacteriophora* (RCHb). The formulation IJs were also used to inoculate the mealybugs directly. The bioassay protocol was followed and 12 wells per tray were occupied, each with a single mealybug. Five trays were used for the treatment, and five trays for the control for each nematode species. Each mealybug in the treatment was inoculated with 100 IJs/50  $\mu$ l, with the procedure being repeated on a separate date.

### Vertical sand column test

The ability of *S. yirgalemense* and *H. zealandica* to detect and infect adult female *P. ficus* in vertically placed sand columns was tested. Five mealybugs were placed in a single perforated PCR 0.2 ml tube (using a heated surgical needle). The tube was then placed at the bottom of a 15  $\times$  1.5 cm centrifuge tube. To sterilise the river sand it was frozen at

-40°C, and dried in an oven overnight at 50°C. To ensure adequate moisture levels, water and sand were mixed in a ratio of 1:10 v/v. Each centrifuge tube was filled with sand to the top. A 13 mm diameter piece of filter paper was inoculated with 500 IJs. The inoculated side was placed downward and left on top of the sand. The lid of the centrifuge tube was screwed on, after which the tube was placed vertically in a dark growth chamber at 25  $\pm$  2°C for 48 h. For each nematode species, 20 tubes (*i.e.* 100 mealybugs in total) were used, and the experiment was repeated on a separate date with a new batch of nematodes.

### Data analysis

All statistical analyses were done using STATISTICA version 11 (StatSoft Inc., 2012). All data, except for the concentration trials, were corrected in order to compensate for natural deaths by using Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925). An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyse the data. A post-hoc comparison of means was done using Bonferroni's method, or, when residuals were not distributed normally, a bootstrap multi-comparison of means was conducted, with 95% confidence intervals (Efron & Tibshirani, 1993). Data from different test dates were pooled. A probit analysis was performed using Polo PC (LeOra Software 1987) to determine lethal nematode dosages (Finney, 1971).

## RESULTS

### Screening

Data analysed with a two-way ANOVA showed no significant difference between the species and the two test dates ( $F_{(7,64)} = 1.189$ ;  $P = 0.32$ ). Data from the two batches were pooled, showing significant differences ( $F_{(7,72)} = 26.263$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) when comparing the species. Percentage mortality ranged from 5  $\pm$  2% (*H. safricana*) to 96  $\pm$  2% (*H. zealandica*)




FIGURE 1

The mean percentage mortality (95% confidence interval) of adult female *Planococcus ficus* inoculated with *Heterorhabditis safricana* (SF281), *Steinernema khoisanense* (SF80), commercially produced *S. feltiae* (CSf), *S. citrae* (141-C), *H. bacteriophora* (SF351), commercially produced *H. bacteriophora* (CHb), *S. yirgalemense* (157-C) and *H. zealandica* (SF41), at a concentration of 100 IJs/50  $\mu$ l/insect after 48 h (one-way ANOVA;  $F_{(7,72)} = 26.263$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ). Bars sharing a common letter are not significantly different.



(Fig. 1). The 96% mortality caused by *H. zealandica* was significantly higher than the mortality that was obtained with all other isolates, except for *S. yirgalemense*, which had a mortality of  $65 \pm 10\%$ . The two commercially produced species, CSf and CHb, did not differ significantly from each other ( $P = 0.23$ ), although CSf was only responsible for a  $19 \pm 4\%$  mortality, in comparison to CHb, with a  $42 \pm 7\%$  mortality. Although the mean mortality differed by 23%, no significant difference was found between *S. yirgalemense* and commercially produced *H. bacteriophora* ( $P = 0.23$ ).

### Biological study

For both *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense*, development and visual changes in the infected mealybugs were documented for a total of 14 days after inoculation (Table 2). *Planococcus ficus* individuals infected by *H. zealandica* ranged from yellow, orange to dark brown/black in colour within 48 h of infection. When the yellow individuals were opened for inspection, their internal contents were easily separated in water, in comparison to the internal contents of the orange and dark-brown individuals, which were viscous and gummy in consistency. By day three, eggs were visible within the hermaphroditic *H. zealandica* adults. On day six, larvae were apparent inside the adults, while the first emergent IJs were visible on day eight, completing the life cycle. A mixture of IJs and adults with larvae was present on day 10, but from day 14 onwards only IJs were found. The mean IJ penetration was 13 ( $n = 50$ ), with a penetration range of one to 37 IJs for a single mealybug. The mean IJ production per cadaver of *H. zealandica* was 300.

Mealybugs infected with *S. yirgalemense* also produced the same colour changes. Two days after inoculation, mealybug individuals were yellow, orange or brown/black in colour. It was also observed that the darker the colour, the thicker the viscosity of the mealybugs' internal matrix, due to the higher bacterial concentration levels. Adults were present by day three, with females containing eggs and males being clearly distinguishable due to their diagnostic spicule. On day six, larvae were present in the adult females. Day seven presented a mixture of larvae and adult females containing larvae. IJs were present from day nine through to 14, while the pre-adults did not mature and began dying by day 14. Some mealybug individuals contained no IJs, but only pre-adults, which eventually died. The mean IJ penetration was six ( $n = 50$ ), with a penetration range of one to 23 IJs for a single mealybug. The mean IJ production per cadaver for *S. yirgalemense* was 75.

### Virulence comparison between nematodes grown in vitro and in vivo

A four-way ANOVA showed no significant differences between batches (two levels: batch 1 and batch 2, used on separate dates), between the species (two levels: *S. feltiae* and *H. bacteriophora*), between formulations (recycled and not recycled), or between treatments (two levels: treatment and control) ( $F_{(1,64)} = 0.05924$ ;  $P = 0.808$ ).

Data from the two batches were pooled and a two-way ANOVA was used to compare species and formulations ( $F_{(1,36)} = 0.68787$ ;  $P = 0.412$ ). Although no significant overall interaction was indicated, there was a significant difference

between RCSf and non-recycled CSf ( $P = 0.048$ ) when the performances of the two species were investigated separately. Percentage mortality for RCHb and CHb was  $54 \pm 5\%$  and  $42 \pm 5\%$  respectively, with RCHb being responsible for a 12% higher mortality in adult female *P. ficus* (Fig. 2).

### Effect of nematode concentration on *Planococcus ficus* mortality

Results analysed using a three-way ANOVA showed no significant differences between the species (three levels: *S. yirgalemense*, *H. zealandica* and CHb), nematode concentration (six levels: 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80 IJs/insect) and different batches (two levels: batch 1 and batch 2, used on separate dates) ( $F_{(10,144)} = 1.549$ ;  $P = 0.13$ ). Data from the two batches were pooled, and a two-way ANOVA comparing the different species and six concentrations showed significant differences ( $F_{(10,162)} = 2.828$ ;  $P < 0.05$ ). The only significant difference at a given concentration was between the commercially produced *H. bacteriophora* (CHb) and the other two species, at a concentration of 80 IJs/mealybug ( $P < 0.001$ ). *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* produced a mean mortality of  $62 \pm 7.4\%$ , while *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* both produced a mean mortality of  $94 \pm 2.7\%$  at a concentration of 80 IJs/mealybug. At all other given concentrations, there was no significant difference between the species (Fig. 3).

The  $LC_{50}$  and  $LC_{90}$  of *P. ficus*, after exposure to *H. zealandica*, *S. yirgalemense* and CHb for 24 h, were 19 IJs/mealybug and 82 IJs/mealybug; 13 IJs/mealybug and 80 IJs/mealybug; and 36 IJs/mealybug and 555 IJs/mealybug respectively (Fig. 4). The  $LC_{90}$  for the CHb extends beyond the scope of the graph.

### Vertical sand column test

The percentage mortality of the pooled data was analysed using a one-way ANOVA. A significant difference was found between the performance of *S. yirgalemense* and *H. zealandica* ( $F_{(1,78)} = 8.878$ ;  $P = 0.003$ ). The mean percentage mortality for *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* was  $82 \pm 4.1\%$  and  $95 \pm 1.4\%$  respectively (Fig. 5).

### DISCUSSION

The study of the use of EPNs for the control of *P. ficus* is novel. In such investigations, the first and most important step when considering the potential use of EPNs as a biological control agent against *P. ficus* is to determine the virulence of the different nematode species under optimum environmental conditions.

The research has shown, through the screening of six indigenous EPN species and two commercially produced species, that there are clear differences in pathogenicity between the nematode species concerned. Under optimal laboratory conditions, *H. zealandica* outperformed the other seven nematode species, with a mean mortality of 95%, while *H. safricana* scored a mean mortality of only 5%. The results obtained illustrate the range in susceptibility of the host against the different species. *Steinernema yirgalemense* produced the second best mean mortality result of 65%, but with no significant difference in relation to *H. zealandica* and *H. bacteriophora*. These results are similar to and

complement earlier work conducted on different mealybug species, specifically on *P. viburni* by Stokwe (2009) and on *P. citri* by Van Niekerk and Malan (2012). *Heterorhabditis zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* were among the four most pathogenic species in the study conducted by Stokwe (2009), while they were the best two species, producing very high percentages of mortality, of 91% and 97% respectively, in Van Niekerk and Malan's (2012) study.

Interestingly, studies on other insect pests have shown similar promising results concerning *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense*. Specifically, *H. zealandica* was selected as the best candidate for the control of codling moth, *Cydia pomonella* (L.), by De Waal (2008), Malan *et al.* (2011) showed *S. yirgalemense* to be highly virulent against false codling moth, *Thaumatotibia leucotreta* (Meyrick), while the work conducted by Ferreira and Malan (2013) held

TABLE 2  
*Heterorhabditis zealandica* and *Steinernema yirgalemense* development in adult female *Planococcus ficus*.

Nematode species	Number of days post inoculation	Developmental stage	Mean and range of IJ penetration (n = 50)
<i>H. zealandica</i>	2	Mixture of unrecovered IJs and recovered pre-adult stages	13 (1-37)
	3	Mixture of recovered pre-adult stages and hermaphrodites with eggs	-
	4	Hermaphrodites with eggs	-
	6	Mixture of hermaphrodites with eggs and hermaphrodites with larvae	-
	8	Mixture of hermaphrodites with eggs and larvae and first-generation IJs	-
	9	Mixture of hermaphrodites with eggs and larvae and IJs	-
	10	Mixture of hermaphrodites with larvae and IJs	-
	14	IJs only	-
<i>S. yirgalemense</i>	2	Mixture of unrecovered IJs and recovered pre-adult stages	6 (1-23)
	3	Mixture of recovered pre-adults and adults (females containing eggs)	-
	4	Mixture of pre-adults and adults (females containing eggs)	-
	6	Males and females filled with larvae	-
	7	Males and females filled with larvae and immature stages	-
	9	Immature stages and IJs	-
	12	Immature stages and IJs	-
	14	Immature stages and IJs	-




FIGURE 2

The mean percentage mortality (95% confidence interval) of female adult *Planococcus ficus* infected with commercially produced *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and *Steinernema feltiae* (CHb and CSf), and recycled commercially produced *H. bacteriophora* and *S. feltiae* (RCHb and RCSf), at a concentration of 100 IJs/50  $\mu$ l/insect after 48 h (two-way ANOVA;  $F(1, 36) = 0.68787$ ,  $P = 0.412$ ). Bars sharing a common letter are not significantly different.

*H. zealandica* responsible for the highest mortality levels of the banded fruit weevil, *Phlyctinus callosus* (Schönherr). Of the commercially produced EPNs, *H. bacteriophora* was found not to be significantly different from commercially produced *S. feltiae*. The general performance of the two families is varied, and, considering that *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* were the best, potential arguments concerning the generally superior performance of heterorhabditids in relation to steinernematids (leading to speculation about heterorhabditids and the possession of a dorsal tooth that might promote increased levels of penetration, thus

encouraging better performance) can be disregarded. When considering the current study and previous studies, these two species clearly displayed highly virulent qualities to a variety of insect pests, including *P. ficus*, and therefore were selected for further tests.

A biological study was done to gain insight into whether or not the EPNs of interest can develop and complete their life cycle in *P. ficus*. If they were able to do so it would affect the success and persistence of the biocontrol agent in vineyards, where it could have significant effects not only on mealybugs, but also on other soil stages of pest insects such




FIGURE 3

The mean percentage mortality (95% confidence interval) of female adult *Planococcus ficus* infected with *Steinernema yirgalemense*, *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* and commercially produced *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (CHb) at 0, 5, 10, 20, 40 and 80 IJs/mealybug after 48 h (two-way ANOVA;  $F(10, 162) = 2.828$ ;  $P < 0.05$ ). Bars with different letters indicate a significant difference.




FIGURE 4

The mean percentage mortality of adult female *Planococcus ficus* 48 h after exposure to *Heterorhabditis zealandica*, *Steinernema yirgalemense* and commercially produced *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora* (CHb). The LC50 and LC90 values of each species are indicated on the curves by circular markers (probit analysis).




FIGURE 5

The mean mortality (95% confidence interval) of female adult *Planococcus ficus* 48 h after being buried under 15 cm of sand after inoculation with *Heterorhabditis zealandica* and *Steinernema yirgalemense* at a concentration of 100 IJs/mealybug (one-way ANOVA;  $F(1, 78) = 8.878$ ;  $P = 0.003$ ). Different letters indicate significant differences.

as *P. callosus*.

When inspecting the cadavers two days after inoculation, the mean penetration number of *H. zealandica* exceeded the number of *S. yirgalemense*, with numbers of 16 and six respectively. The results were complemented by the mean penetration numbers established by Stokwe (2009) and Van Niekerk and Malan (2012), who both found higher penetration levels with *H. zealandica* than *S. yirgalemense*. The higher penetration number of *H. zealandica* corresponds to its superior performance in the screening test. There is an apparent direct relationship between penetration and insecticidal activity, a finding that is supported by Hominick and Reid (1990), who assumed that the nematode with the highest efficacy against the insect of interest would also have the best invasion efficacy. The findings of various other studies on different insect pests are in accordance with these findings (Kondo & Ishibashi, 1986; Mannion & Jansson, 1993; Shannag *et al.*, 1994; Garcia del Pino & Morton, 2005). The relatively low penetration numbers in comparison to the numbers that have been obtained with other insect hosts can be ascribed to the small size of the adult female *P. ficus*, which is approximately 4 mm in length and 2 mm in width.

Although both nematode species completed their life cycles, the amount of time that was taken to do so varies by a day or two in comparison to the amount of time that was taken in biological studies conducted by Stokwe (2009) and by Van Niekerk and Malan (2012). The variation could be attributed to the different sizes of the mealybugs concerned, although they were very similar. The life cycle of *S. yirgalemense* was found to be longer in *P. ficus*, not shorter, as had been found in the other two studies and with the associated mealybug species. The development of *S. yirgalemense* in *P. ficus* was similar to that described in the findings of Van Niekerk and Malan (2012) in relation to *P. citri*, which was found to produce IJs, although in some instances the nematodes only developed to a certain stage and then perished. In general, the factors that cause the

development of IJs and their movement out of the host are the availability of food and the overcrowding of nematodes in the host cadaver (Ehlers, 2001; Adams & Nguyen, 2002; Griffin *et al.*, 2005). Thus, overcrowding and/or nutrient deficiencies will prompt the development of IJs and their movement out of the host in search of a new host. According to Smart (1995), the time taken from IJ entry into the host until IJ emergence is also dependent on the nematode species concerned and on the ambient temperatures. Factors such as these could explain the differences in the development and developmental times between the two EPN species of the three different mealybugs involved.

According to Gaugler and Georgis (1991), the quality of inoculum produced by various methods has received little attention. Accordingly, two EPN species that had been produced commercially in a liquid medium and stored in a unique formula were tested against the same commercially produced species that were recycled through wax moth larvae. In both instances, the recycled *in vivo* individuals caused a higher mean mortality. For *H. bacteriophora*, the recycled IJs caused a mean mortality of 54%, as opposed to 42%, with no significant difference in effect from the results obtained with the commercially produced individuals. However, a significant difference was detected between the commercially produced and recycled IJs of *S. feltiae*, with a respective mean mortality of 19% and 40%.

Due to the fact that the production of EPNs by *in vivo* methods is laborious, and limited by scale and economy, it is important that commercially produced nematodes are able to reach their full potential as biopesticides (Gaugler & Georgis, 1991; Ehlers, 2001). Unfortunately, many disadvantages are associated with large-scale production (Ehlers, 2001). Besides the problems that are associated with the actual mass (*in vitro*) culturing process itself, and transport and storage, some studies have shown the pathogenicity of the EPNs to be compromised during the *in vitro* process. For example, Yang *et al.* (1997) found that the quality of EPNs



was influenced by the type of culture medium concerned. Both Gaugler and Georgis (1991) and Yang *et al.* (1997) found *in vivo* culturing methods to produce IJs with higher fatty acid content. According to Gaugler and Georgis (1991), a reduction in the fatty acid content of IJs produced *in vitro* causes a reduction in pathogenicity, but such information is challenged by Ehlers (personal communication, 2013) who has found that there is no difference in the total fatty acid content of IJs when produced either *in vivo* or *in vitro*. The most likely reason for a reduction in pathogenicity includes prolonged storage and suboptimal storage conditions (Gaugler & Georgis, 1991).

A definite positive relationship exists between the concentration of all three nematode species used for inoculation and the percentage mortality of *P. ficus*. For all three species there was no significant difference between the percentage mortality at a given concentration, besides that of 80 IJs/mealybug. No significant differences were detected between *H. zealandica*, *S. yirgalemense* and the commercially produced *H. bacteriophora* from the inoculum concentration of 10 IJs/mealybug upward. The LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values for *H. zealandica* in the current study were 19 and 82 IJs respectively, with the values being very similar to the LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values for *S. yirgalemense*, at 13 and 80 respectively. In contrast, the LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> for CHb were greater than they were for both *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense*, with values of 36 and 555 respectively. The similarity in the percentage of *P. ficus* mortality caused by *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* in the concentration test was similar to the *P. citrus* percentage mortality found by Van Niekerk and Malan (2012). Despite this finding, the LC<sub>50</sub> value of *H. zealandica* in the current study was similar to the LC<sub>50</sub> in Van Niekerk and Malan's (2012) study, at 11, although the LC<sub>90</sub> values differed greatly, with Van Niekerk and Malan (2012) obtaining a value of 162 nematodes, in contrast to 82. From the findings of the present study, as well as those of previous studies, *P. ficus* and *P. citri* clearly are more susceptible to *H. zealandica* than is *P. viburni*. Stokwe (2009), in contrast, obtained LC<sub>50</sub> and LC<sub>90</sub> values of 54 and 330 for *P. viburni*. The LD<sub>90</sub> values of *H. zealandica* and *S. yirgalemense* in the current study give a fair indication of nematode concentrations to be used in future field studies and trials.

Sand column tests were conducted to predict the potential performance of the two best EPN candidates in the field, while also establishing which nematode species would be used for future field trials. Field soil applications are being considered because *P. ficus* has been found to move down to the lower regions and underground onto the roots of the vine to overwinter, providing an opportunity to control them effectively with EPNs (Walton & Pringle, 2004a). Of the two nematode species, *S. yirgalemense* outperformed *H. zealandica* significantly, with a mean mortality of 95% and 82% respectively. Despite this outcome, both candidates performed well, and *H. zealandica* should not be disregarded completely, especially when considering previous work that has been performed and the successful results that have already been achieved. Ferreira and Malan (2013) conducted soil column tests by inoculating *P. callosus* larvae placed at different depths, with the deepest at 15 cm, with

*H. zealandica*, which were then left for seven days. No significant differences in mortality were found between the burial depths. In the current study, despite the nematodes being given only two days to detect and infect *P. ficus* placed 15 cm deep, high mortality levels were obtained. The reason for the better performance of *S. yirgalemense* is unclear, as there are many factors that could be at play. For instance, Koppenhoffer and Fuzy (2006) found different soil types to influence the infectivity of different nematode species. To place an onus on their potentially different foraging strategies would be weak reasoning, when considering that nematode species can be placed on a continuum ranging from cruise to ambush, while nematodes have been found to shift along such a continuum (Lewis *et al.*, 1992, 2006). Ultimately, the vertical movement of both nematode species tested in the current study was adequate for locating and infecting *P. ficus* below the soil to a depth of at least 15 cm.

According to the results from the various bioassays, both *S. yirgalemense* and *H. zealandica* have displayed potential as good candidates for the control of *P. ficus*. This suggests the need for further studies to be conducted in the hope of developing an adequate infrastructure for the commercial production of South African EPNs. The commercial production and the use of indigenous nematode species for the control of agricultural pests such as *P. ficus*, rather than exotic species, should prevent the need to deal with potential environmental issues in the foreseeable future. Both *S. yirgalemense* and *H. zealandica* performed similarly throughout the bioassays, with *S. yirgalemense* generally doing slightly better, indicating the potential for better infield performance, where it will be likely to experience suboptimal conditions. *Steinernema yirgalemense* performed well in the soil column tests, which offers possibilities when considering the vertical movement of *P. ficus* down the trunk of the vine and underground onto the roots in late autumn and winter. As a result, the negative aspects of foliar application could possibly be avoided if EPNs are used in an IPM scheme to control *P. ficus*, as soil and lower-trunk application is possible. During the winter months, moisture levels are generally relatively high and the temperatures are relatively cool. Further tests should be conducted in the field to confirm such speculation, and to answer questions about when and how to apply the nematodes, as well as in what concentrations. In addition, their compatibility with agrochemicals should be determined.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Abbott, W.S., 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 18, 265-267.
- Adams, B.J. & Nguyen, K.B., 2002. Taxonomy and systematics. In: Gaugler, R. (ed). *Entomopathogenic nematology*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. pp. 1 – 28.
- Bathon, H., 1996. Impact of entomopathogenic nematodes on non-target hosts. *Biocontrol. Sci. Technol.* 6, 421-434.
- Daane, K.M., Cooper, M.L., Triapitsyn, S.V., Walton, V.M., Yokota, G.Y., Haviland, D.R., Bentley, W.J., Godfrey, K. & Wunderlich, L.R., 2008. Vineyard managers and researchers seek sustainable solutions for mealybugs, a changing pest complex. *Calif. Agr.* 62, 167-176.

- Daane, K.M., Malakar-Kuenen, R., Guillen, M., Bentley, W.J., Bianchi, M. & Gonzalez, D., 2003. Abiotic and biotic pest refuges hamper biological control of mealybugs in California vineyards. In: 1<sup>st</sup> Intern. Symp. on Biological Control of Arthropods, Honolulu, pp. 389 – 398.
- De Waal, J.Y., 2008. Entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) for the control of codling moth, *Cydia pomonella* (L.) under South African conditions. Thesis, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland (Stellenbosch), South Africa.
- Douglas, N. & Kruger, K., 2008. Transmission efficiency of grapevine leafroll-associated virus 3 (GLRaV-3) by the mealybugs *Planococcus ficus* and *Pseudococcus longispinus* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae). *Plant. Pathol.* 122, 207-212.
- Efron, B. & Tibshirani, R., 1993. An introduction to the bootstrap. Chapman & Hall, London.
- Ehlers, R., 1996. Current and future use of nematodes in biocontrol: Practice and commercial aspects with regard to regulatory policy issues. *Biocontrol. Sci. Technol.* 6, 303-316.
- Ehlers, R., 2001. Mass production of entomopathogenic nematodes for plant protection. *Appl. Microb. Technol.* 56, 623-633.
- Ehlers, R., 2005. Forum on safety and regulation. In: Grewal, P.S., Ehlers, R.-U. & Shapiro-Ilan, D.I. (eds). *Nematodes as biocontrol agents*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. pp. 107 – 114.
- Ferreira, T. & Malan, A.P., 2013. Potential of entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of the banded fruit weevil, *Phlyctinus callosus* (Schönherr) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *J. Helminthol.* 3, 1-9.
- Finney, D.J., 1971. Probit analysis. Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Flaherty, D.L., Peacock, W.L., Bettiga, L. & Leavitt, G.M., 1982. Chemicals losing effect against grape mealybug. *Calif. Agr.* 36, 6.
- Franco, J.C., Zada, A. & Mendel, Z., 2009. Novel approaches for the management of mealybug pests. In: Ishaaya, I. & Horowitz, A.R. (eds). *Biorational control of arthropod pests: Application and resistance management*. Springer Science, New York. pp. 233 – 278.
- Friedman, M.J., 1990. Commercial production and development. In: Gaugler, R. & Kaya, H.K. (eds). *Entomopathogenic nematodes in biocontrol*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. pp. 153 – 172.
- Garcia Del Pino, F. & Morton, A., 2005. Efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes against neonate larvae of *Capnodis tenebrionis* (L.) (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) in laboratory trials. *BioControl* 50: 307-316.
- Gaugler, R., 1988. Ecological considerations in the biological control of soil-inhabiting insects with entomopathogenic nematodes. *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.* 24, 351-360.
- Gaugler, R. & Georgis, R., 1991. Culture method and efficacy of entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae). *Biol. Control.* 1, 269-274.
- Gaugler, R., Lewis, E. & Stuart, R.J., 1997. Ecology in the service of biological control: The case of entomopathogenic nematodes. *Oecologia* 9, 483-489.
- Grewal, P.S., De Nardo, A.B. & Aguilera, M.M., 2001. Entomopathogenic nematodes: Potential for exploration and use in South America. *Neotrop. Entomol.* 30, 191-205.
- Griffin, C.T., Boemare, N.E. & Lewis, E.E., 2005. Biology and behaviour. In: Grewal, P.S., Ehlers, R.-U. & Shapiro-Ilan, D.I. (eds). *Nematodes as biocontrol agents*. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK. pp. 47 – 75.
- Haviland, D.R., Bentley, W.J. & Daane, K.M., 2005. Hot-water treatments for control of *Planococcus ficus* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) on dormant grape cuttings. *Econ. Entomol.* 98, 1109-1115.
- Holm, K., 2008. Construction of a cDNA library for the vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret). Thesis, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland (Stellenbosch), South Africa.
- Hominick, W.M. & Reid, A.P. 1990. Perspectives on entomopathogenic nematology. In: Gaugler, R. & Kaya, H.K. (eds). *Entomopathogenic nematodes in biological control*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. pp. 327 – 343.
- Kaya, H.K. & Stock, S.P., 1997. Techniques in insect nematology. In: Lacey, L.A. (ed). *Manual of techniques in insect pathology*. Academic Press, London. pp. 281 – 301.
- Kondo, E. & Ishibashi, N., 1986. Infectivity and propagation of entomogenous nematodes, *Steinernema* spp., on the common cutworm, *Spodoptera litura* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 21, 95-108.
- Koppenhoffer, A.M. & Fuzy, E.M., 2006. Effects of soil type on infectivity and persistence of the entomopathogenic nematodes *Steinernema scarabaei*, *Steinernema glaseri*, *Heterorhabditis zealandica*, and *Heterorhabditis bacteriophora*. *J. Invert. Pathol.* 92, 11-22.
- Kriegler, P.J., 1954. 'n Bydrae tot die kennis van *Planococcus citri* (Risso) (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) (in Afrikaans). Thesis, University of Stellenbosch, Private Bag X1, Matieland (Stellenbosch), South Africa.
- Le Vieux, P. & Malan, A.P. 2013. Entomopathogenic nematodes for the control of the vine mealybug (*Planococcus ficus*) in South African wine and table grapes: A review. *S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic.* 34, 108-118.
- Lewis, E.E., Campbell, J., Griffin, C., Kaya, H. & Peters, A., 2006. Behavioural ecology of entomopathogenic nematodes. *Biol. Control.* 38, 66-79.
- Lewis, E.E., Gaugler, R. & Harrison, R., 1992. Entomopathogenic nematode host finding: Response to host contact cues by cruise and ambush foragers. *Parasitol.* 105, 309-315.
- Malan, A.P., Knoetze, R. & Moore, S.D., 2011. Isolation and identification of entomopathogenic nematodes from citrus orchards in South Africa and their biocontrol potential against false codling moth. *J. Invert. Pathol.* 108, 115-125.
- Malan, A.P., Nguyen, K.B. & Addison, M.K., 2006. Entomopathogenic nematodes (Steinernematidae and Heterorhabditidae) from the southwestern parts of South Africa. *Afri. Pl. Prot.* 12, 65-69.
- Mannion, C.M. & Jansson, R.K., 1993. Infectivity of five entomopathogenic nematodes to the sweet potato weevil, *Cylas formicarius* (F.) (Coleoptera: Apionidae), in three experimental arenas. *J. Invert. Pathol.* 62, 29-36.
- Miller, D.R., Miller, G.L. & Watson G.W., 2002. Invasive species of mealybugs (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) and their threat to U.S. agriculture. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington* 104, 825-836.
- Navon, A. & Ascher, K.R.S., 2000. Bioassays of entomopathogenic microbes and nematodes. CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Shannag, H.K., Webb, S.E. & Capinera, J.L., 1994. Entomopathogenic nematode effect on pickleworm (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) under laboratory and field conditions. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 87, 1205-1212.
- Smart, G.C., 1995. Entomopathogenic nematodes for the biological control of insects. *J. Nematol.* 27, 529-534.
- StatSoft Inc., 2012. STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 11. www.statsoft.com
- Stokwe, N.F., 2009. Entomopathogenic nematodes: Characterisation of a new species, long-term storage and control of obscure mealybug, *Pseudococcus viburni* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) under laboratory conditions. Thesis, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland (Stellenbosch), South Africa.
- Stokwe, N.F. & Malan, A.P. 2010. Potential control of mealybugs using entomopathogenic nematodes. *S. Afr. Fruit J.* 7, 38-42.

- Stuart, R.J., Polavarapu, S., Lewis, E.E. & Gaugler, R., 1997. Differential susceptibility of *Dysmicoccus vaccinii* (Homoptera: Pseudococcidae) to entomopathogenic nematodes (Rhabditida: Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae). *Econ. Entomol.* 90, 925-932.
- Van Niekerk, S., 2012. The use of entomopathogenic nematodes to control citrus mealybug, *Planococcus citri* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) on citrus in South Africa. Thesis, Department of Conservation Ecology and Entomology, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland (Stellenbosch), South Africa.
- Van Niekerk, S. & Malan, A.P., 2012. Potential of South African entomopathogenic nematodes (Heterorhabditidae and Steinernematidae) for control of the citrus mealybug, *Planococcus citri* (Pseudococcidae). *J. Invert. Pathol.* 111, 166-176.
- Walton, V.M., 2003. Development of an integrated pest management system for vine mealy bug, *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret), in vineyards in the Western Cape province, South Africa. Dissertation, Department of Conservation Ecology and Entomology, Stellenbosch University, Private Bag X1, Matieland (Stellenbosch), South Africa.
- Walton, V.M. & Pringle, K.L., 2004a. A survey of mealybugs and associated natural enemies in the vineyards in the Western Cape province, South Africa. *S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic.* 25, 23-25.
- Walton, V.M. & Pringle, K.L., 2004b. Vine mealybug, *Planococcus ficus* (Signoret) (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae), a key pest in South African vineyards. A review. *S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic.* 25, 54-62.
- White, G.F., 1927. A method for obtaining infective nematode larvae from cultures. *Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci.* 66, 302-303.
- Yang, H., Jian, H., Zhang, S. & Zhang, G., 1997. Quality of the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* produced on different media. *Biol. Control.* 10, 193-198.