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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

Since the emergence of acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) about three decades ago, several renal disorders have been reported as common complications of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection. These renal disorders have resulted from diverse aetiologies. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence, clinical and laboratory characteristics of antiretroviral naïve HIV-infected patients with impaired kidney disorder in South-South Nigeria.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional study of antiretroviral naïve HIV-infected patients presenting at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH), Benin City in South-South Nigeria for six months. The patients’ biodata, clinical, haematological and biochemical parameters were assessed. Their glomerular filtration rate using the six equation of MDRD and protein excretion was calculated from protein-creatinine ratio. Data was analysed using statistical software program SPSS version 15.0.

RESULTS

Three hundred and eighty-three (383) patients with a mean age of 35.39 ± 8.78 years with a male/female ratio of 1:1 were studied. Of these 53.3% had evidence of kidney disorder. The main clinical features in patients with kidney disorder were evidence of fluid retention, urinary symptoms, pallor and encephalopathy. The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure was 115.33 ± 17.17 and 72.33 ± 14.31 mmHg respectively. The mean estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was 52.5ml/minute/1.73mm².

Patients with kidney disorder had worse proteinuria (p = 0.001), lower mean CD4 cell count, and packed cell volume (p = 0.019 and 0.001 respectively).

CONCLUSION

Kidney disorder is a common complication in HIV-infected patients and they have clinical and laboratory anomalies. Screening of HIV/AIDS patients at point of diagnosis will facilitate early diagnosis of kidney disorders in them.
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INTRODUCTION

Multiple organs, including the kidneys, are common targets in HIV infection. A variety of renal syndromes have been reported during the course of HIV infection. These present as acute, chronic or acute on chronic kidney disorders.

Rao et al first reported in 1984 that renal lesions were found in HIV-infected patients. He went further to describe a glomerulopathy, which was characterised by heavy proteinuria, biochemical features of nephrotic syndrome and azotaemia, which rapidly progressed to end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Since then many types of renal disorders have been encountered ranging from mild transient renal impairment to ESRD. These renal disorders can occur at all stages of HIV infection.4,5

Furthermore, kidney disorder can also result from opportunistic infections, toxicity from antiretroviral therapy, as well as other drugs used to manage HIV infection.6,7 Patients with HIV infection may also develop renal disorder not related to the HIV infection, its consequences or treatment.

The actual global incidence and prevalence of renal disease in HIV infection is not known, thus rendering epidemiologic assessment difficult. In Africa – where the majority of patients with HIV infection live – data regarding renal involvement is scarce. However, the prevalence of renal disease in HIV/AIDS patients has been variously reported to be between 30-60%.1,3,7

Most of the renal manifestations of HIV-infected patients represent complications of concurrent infection in a severely immuno-compromised host or side effects of the plethora of treatment required to manage them.8-10

Definition of the precise role of the HIV virion and its gene products in instigating pathology within the nephron has been difficult, and most of the proposed pathogenetic mechanisms remain controversial. Attempts to define the pathogenesis of HIV-driven renal disease, including in vivo and in vitro studies of HIV infection in various cell components of the nephron, have not produced a consensus mechanism.11

The virus has been reported to affect the kidneys directly causing various glomerular and interstitial kidney diseases. This it achieves by attacking the glomerular epithelial and renal tubular cells.9 Diabetic nephropathy, hypertensive nephrosclerosis, malignant hypertension, chronic glomerulonephritis, lupus nephritis, and chronic pyelonephritis, had been variously reported in HIV-infected patients.12,39,56,12-14

Early detection and intervention in HIV patients with renal disorder may delay the progression or reverse the impairment in renal function.9,10

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence, clinical and laboratory characteristics of antiretroviral naïve HIV-infected patients with kidney disorder presenting at a tertiary hospital in South-South Nigeria.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This was a cross-sectional study of antiretroviral naïve HIV-infected patients presenting at the University of Benin Teaching Hospital (UBTH) in Benin City, a tertiary healthcare centre in South-South Nigeria. The study was over a six-month period.

Adult HIV-seropositive patients presenting during the study period were recruited for the study after informed consent. However, patients with diabetes mellitus, hypertension, congestive cardiac failure, sickle cell anaemia, malignancies not related to HIV infection, urinary tract infection, and history of renal disease were excluded from the study. Pregnant patients and those on antiretroviral therapy were also excluded.

Consecutive antiretroviral naïve HIV-infected patients presenting in the accident/emergency unit or admitted into the medical wards within the study period and who met the eligibility criteria were recruited for the study.

Ethical clearance from the Ethical and Research Committee of the hospital was obtained. The details of the study, including collection of urine and blood specimens, were explained to all patients participating in the study, and signed consent obtained from each patient before proceeding with the study.

The demographic data and the clinical details of each patient were documented. Blood specimens were collected for assessment of packed cell volume (PCV), serum electrolytes, urea and creatinine. A spot urine sample was collected for assessment of protein and creatinine.

The glomerular filtration rate was calculated using the six formula equation of Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD), and the urine protein excretion was calculated using the urine protein-creatinine ratio (PCR).

Kidney disorder was defined as impairment in kidney function, detected when the eGFR is < 60ml/minute/1.73m² and/or evidence of kidney injury as detected when the PCR (mg/g) ≥200.

The data obtained was entered into a Microsoft Excel electronic spreadsheet and analysed using the SPSS version...
15.0 statistical package. Distributions of variables and defining criteria for kidney disorder were computed. The student’s t-test and chi-square test were used to compare means and proportions of variables respectively. P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Three hundred and eighty-three (383) eligible HIV-infected patients were recruited for the study and of these 204 patients (53.3%) had evidence of kidney disorder as defined above.

BIODATA

Patients studied were aged between 18 and 81 years with a mean age of 36.03 ± 9.08 years. The mean age of patients with normal and impaired renal function were 35.39 ± 8.78 years and 36.67 ± 9.38 years respectively (p = 0.66).

Table 1 shows the age distribution of the patients according to renal function. The majority (89.8%) of patients were younger than 50 years. HIV infection and impaired kidney function (IKF) were commonest in the 30-39 years age group.

Sex distribution of patients studied according to kidney function is shown in Figure 1. Females made up 58.5% of the study population. Normal kidney function (NKF) was demonstrated in 67.5% and 32.5% of females and males respectively, while prevalence of impaired kidney function was similar in both sexes (50% each).

CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS

The clinical characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 2.

Symptoms of fluid retention (facial and leg swelling) were significantly more common in patients with IKF. Urinary symptoms (polyuria, oliguria and nocturia), nausea and vomiting were also more common in patients with IKF than NKF patients, but the differences were not statistically significant. Hematuria was present in 7.5% of patients with NKF and 1.7% of patients with IKF (p = 0.047).

Weakness of the body and pruritus occurred more often in patients with NKF than IKF; however the differences were not statistically significant (p = 0.071 and 0.067 respectively).

Pallor was the most common clinical sign occurring in 32.5 and 40.2% of patients with NKF and IKF respectively; however the difference in prevalence was not significant (p = 0.056).

Impaired conscious state, fluffy hair, and signs of fluid retention (facial puffiness, ascites and pedal oedema) occurred in 21.6%, 12.5%, and 22.5% of patients with IKF and 2.5%, 7.5%, and 7.5% of NKF patients respectively. The differences were statistically significant (p = 0.002, 0.045, and 0.032). The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure were 115.33 ± 17.17mmHg and 72.33 ± 14.31mmHg for IKF patients, and 113.65 ± 14.72mmHg and 73.24 ± 11.86mmHg for patients with NKF (p = 0.600 and 0.729) respectively. The body mass index (BMI) was 20.19 ± 4.31in IKF patients and 21.65 ± 3.78 in NKF patients (p = 0.065). The differences were not statistically significant.

LABORATORY PARAMETERS

The laboratory parameters of the study population are shown in Table 3.

The mean serum sodium, potassium and bicarbonate of patients with IKF and NKF were as documented in the table below: The differences were not statistically significant (p = 0.766, 0.966 and 0.176 respectively).

The mean serum creatinine for patients with IKF and NKF was 284.96 ± 342.41umol/l and 86.82 ± 15.09umol/l respectively (p = <0.001). The mean serum urea for patients with IKF was 8.34 ± 9.44mmol/l, and for patients with NKF was 3.04 ± 1.44mmol/l (p = < 0.001).

The mean GFR of patients with IKF and NKF was 52.49 ± 34.49ml/min/1.73mm² and 95.23 ± 21.45ml/min/1.73mm² respectively (p <0.001). The mean protein-creatinine ratio (PCR) was 1211.37 ± 1451.63, and 190.25 ± 101.25 for patients with IKF and NKF respectively (p <0.001). The mean protein-creatinine ratio (PCR) was 1211.37 ± 1451.63, and 190.25 ± 101.25 for patients with IKF and NKF respectively (p <0.001). The mean protein-creatinine ratio (PCR) was 1211.37 ± 1451.63, and 190.25 ± 101.25 for patients with IKF and NKF respectively (p <0.001). The mean protein-creatinine ratio (PCR) was 1211.37 ± 1451.63, and 190.25 ± 101.25 for patients with IKF and NKF respectively (p <0.001).

The mean protein-creatinine ratio (PCR) was 1211.37 ± 1451.63, and 190.25 ± 101.25 for patients with IKF and NKF respectively (p <0.001). The mean protein-creatinine ratio (PCR) was 1211.37 ± 1451.63, and 190.25 ± 101.25 for patients with IKF and NKF respectively (p <0.001). The mean protein-creatinine ratio (PCR) was 1211.37 ± 1451.63, and 190.25 ± 101.25 for patients with IKF and NKF respectively (p <0.001). The mean protein-creatinine ratio (PCR) was 1211.37 ± 1451.63, and 190.25 ± 101.25 for patients with IKF and NKF respectively (p <0.001).

DISCUSSION

A large proportion (53.3%) of HIV-infected patients studied had impaired kidney function defined as glomerular filtration rate less than 60ml/min1.73m² and/or daily urinary protein excretion of more than 200mg. Previous studies have reported a lower prevalence rate of kidney disorder in HIV infection. The studies mentioned above excluded patients with low grade proteinuria as dipstick was the method used to assess proteinuria, and a study of population is developed to assess proteinuria, and a study of population is developed. However, Agaba et al in a study of HIV patients with renal disease in North Central Nigeria reported a prevalence rate of 52% which is consistent with results from this study.

HIV and renal disease have been respectively reported as diseases affecting young adults more than the other age groups in developing countries, and this trend is thought to be associated with poverty, low socio-economic status and higher sexual activity in the younger age groups. The majority (89.8%) of HIV patients studied were younger than 50 years, in agreement with earlier reports. In consonance with an earlier report, females constituted a
laboratory characteristics of kidney disease in antiretroviral naïve HIV infected patients in South-South Nigeria

In conclusion, impaired renal function is a common presentation in patients with HIV infection. HIV patients with impaired kidney function have poorer clinical, haematological and biochemical characteristics. Early detection of kidney disorder is imperative in HIV-infected patients; there is a need for screening of all HIV patients for renal function even at the time of diagnosis of HIV infection.

The limitations of this study include the small sample size and the fact that it is a cross-sectional study. There is need for a multi-centre cohort study to enable proper characterisation and identify the nature of impaired kidney function in HIV infected patients in developing countries.
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### TABLE 1: AGE DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGE (years)</th>
<th>IKF N (%)</th>
<th>NKF N (%)</th>
<th>Total N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>5 (2.5%)</td>
<td>5 (2.8%)</td>
<td>10 (2.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 29</td>
<td>46 (22.5%)</td>
<td>40 (22.3%)</td>
<td>86 (22.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – 39</td>
<td>82 (40.2%)</td>
<td>67 (37.4%)</td>
<td>149 (38.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – 49</td>
<td>54 (26.5%)</td>
<td>45 (25.1%)</td>
<td>99 (25.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 and older</td>
<td>17 (8.3%)</td>
<td>22 (12.3%)</td>
<td>39 (10.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>204 (100%)</td>
<td>179 (100%)</td>
<td>383 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IKF (impaired kidney function), NKF (normal kidney function)

### FIGURE 1: SEX DISTRIBUTION OF STUDY POPULATION

RF (kidney function)

### TABLE 2: CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE STUDY POPULATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>IKF (%)</th>
<th>NKF (%)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weakness</td>
<td>27.6%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/vomiting</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pruritus</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms of fluid retention</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary symptoms</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematuria</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>0.047*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convulsion</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallor</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>32.5%</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluffy hair</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>0.045*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signs of fluid retention</td>
<td>22.5%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>0.032*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired conscious state</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>113.65 ± 14.72</td>
<td>115.33 ± 17.17</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>73.24 ± 11.86</td>
<td>72.33 ± 14.31</td>
<td>0.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI</td>
<td>21.65 ± 3.78</td>
<td>20.19 ± 4.31</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IKF (impaired kidney function), NKF (normal kidney function), BMI (body mass index)
**TABLE 3: LABORATORY PARAMETERS OF THE STUDY POPULATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Patients with IKF (means ± SD)</th>
<th>Patients with NKF (means ± SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum sodium (mmo/l)</td>
<td>135.50 ± 11.25</td>
<td>136.10 ± 9.64</td>
<td>0.766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum sotassium (mmo/l)</td>
<td>4.16 ± 0.88</td>
<td>4.17 ± 0.93</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum bicarbonate (mmo/l)</td>
<td>21.97 ± 5.64</td>
<td>23.15 ± 4.06</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum urea (mmo/l)</td>
<td>8.34 ± 9.44</td>
<td>3.04 ± 1.44</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (umol/l)</td>
<td>284.96 ± 342.41</td>
<td>86.82 ± 15.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFR (ml/min/1.73m²)</td>
<td>52.49 ± 34.49</td>
<td>95.23 ± 21.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR mg/g</td>
<td>1211.37 ± 1451.63</td>
<td>187.25 ± 168.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCV (%)</td>
<td>29.49 ± 7.92</td>
<td>36.39 ± 8.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (/mm³)</td>
<td>6535 ± 4644</td>
<td>5241 ± 1776</td>
<td>0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4 cell count (/ul)</td>
<td>213.71 ± 209.71</td>
<td>319.75 ± 248.40</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IKF (impaired kidney function), NKF (normal kidney function), GFR (glomerular filtration rate), PCV (packed cell volume), WBC (white blood cell), PCR (protein creatinine ratio)